MULTIPLE PATHWAYS TO GRADUATION (MPG) PROJECT MASTER PLAN REPORT





(THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Acknowledgements | 4 |
|---|----|
| Introduction: Summary of Stakeholder Engagement | 5 |
| Project Schedule | 6 |
| MPG Schedules | 7 |
| Guiding Principles | 8 |
| Proposed Master Plan: "Treehouse" | 9 |
| Sustainability | 14 |
| Program Summary | 15 |
| Cost Summary | 16 |

APPENDIX

| Detailed Program Summary |
|--------------------------------|
| Conceptual Cost Estimate |
| Design Advisory Group #1 Notes |
| Design Advisory Group #2 Notes |
| Design Advisory Group #3 Notes |
| Design Advisory Group #4 Notes |
| Design Advisory Group #5 Notes |



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS





Portland Public Schools and Bassetti Architects would like to acknowledge and thank the teachers, staff, administrators, students, and community members who participated in the development of this document.

Special thanks to the Design Advisory Group who have spent many hours engaging, learning, and discussing the possibilities for the future.

Allison Adams Breanna Gervais Cathy Reynolds Cheryl James Donee Deschler Elise Huggins Elli Sussman Emily Etzkorn Erlinda BadinasIris Iris Torres-Garcia Jeffrey McGhee Julia Brim-Edwards Korinna Wolfe Lisa Veatch Lorna Fast Buffalo Horse Mary Houghton Max Whitehouse Miguel Mejia Nathanial Edmunds Sam Hendricks Susan Keller

Many thanks to the staff members who opened their doors to us and allowed us to observe and ask questions.



INTRODUCTION / SUMMARY OF STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT





The development of the Multiple Pathways to Graduation (MPG) building program and masterplan has been conducted over the last several months and included involvement from numerous Portland Public Schools staff and stakeholders. Profiles of MPG students vary, therefore successful pathways to graduation typically include a process in which scale, pace, and learning styles are more personalized around student needs. MPG teachers focus their teaching styles on differentiated learning practice. Smaller class sizes, individualized lesson planning, and a deeper focus on the needs of the "whole child" (social, emotional, and physical – in addition to academic) are typically required to support a successful student journey to graduation. The facility that houses these schools needs to be responsive to and support these teaching methods.

Multiple schools and programs are included in this facility: Reconnection Center and Services, Alliance at Benson, Alliance at Meek, and DART/Clinton School. Through this process, it became clear that the Alliance schools will be called by one name, a unified Alliance school at the MPG building.

As this facility will continue to provide an alternative approach to large high schools and allow students the ability to choose alternative educational pathways, it was important to understand from the students, staff, and teachers what is needed for each school's unique needs.

Stakeholder engagement allowed the District and the Design Team to gain invaluable information on the school programs. A Design Advisory Group (DAG) was formed with staff, teachers, and administrators. During this effort, the group met 5 times and went on several tours to learn and exchange ideas of what might work for the MPG schools.

Design Advisory Group Topics:

- DAG #1 Introduction of Guiding Principles & Multi-Use Space
- DAG #2 Themes, Activities, and Group Sizes
- DAG #3 -Program Activities, Site Development Ideas & Finalizing Guiding Principles
- DAG #4 Learning Environments & Building Planning Options
- DAG #5 Program input, Learning Environments & Building Massing Options

Tours:


Kenton Swing Space, PPS Success High School, Woodburn School District Grant High School, PPS





PROJECT SCHEDULE

Based on the Benson program schedule, the MPG project is aligned to deliver the project in support of anticipated site logistics needs and efficiency opportunities to support both Benson campus projects. Design is anticipated to complete in Spring 2022, with construction targeted to end in Summer 2023. The site and building will continue to be used for the Benson project, with students and staff moving into the building for Fall 2024 start of school year.






CURRENT MPG SCHEDULES

The MPG Building will consolidate a number of schools onto one shared campus. In order for the design team to understand how each school operates on a daily basis, the group was asked to complete an activity schedule based on their current operation.

The complied schedule illustrates how the overall building might operate daily, based on current operations. This exercise helps identify what activities could potentially take advantage of shared space between the schools and what schedule shifts may need to occur to provide efficiency.

This will be the basis for a continuing conversation about school operations as the project evolves.








GUIDING PRINCIPLES / DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP





- + Create a **respectful**, **inclusive COMMUNITY** responsive and adaptable to student needs and student voice **EMPOWERING students** and instilling a **sense of PRIDE**.
- Support the MISSION of the schools. Uphold and celebrate the IDENTITY of each school, enhancing a SENSE OF BELONGING and providing greater visibility for students to engage with the wider community through better educational opportunities – encouraging re-connection.
- + **Celebrate and support DIVERSITY** of all ages, races, genders, sexuality, physical and neurological abilities.
- + Cultivate durable CONNECTIONS of all CULTURES. Promote culturally-sustaining family involvement by providing culturally-connected events and services. Honor the indigenous land on which the school is built.
- + Create a campus that is APPEALING, WARM, and INVITING to all (students, staff, volunteers, families, visitors), and reflects the schools' values such as healing growth, justice, and opportunity. Create left brain/right brain experiences to provide non-institutional character respectful of the Northwest.
- + Provide access and strong CONNECTIONS to the ENVIRONMENT. Incorporate SUSTAINABLE ELEMENTS in the design, construction, and operations of the facility.
- + FOSTER WELLNESS AND HEALTH by providing a SAFE AND SECURE facility influenced by TRAUMA-INFORMED best practices. Provide supports including: community resources, mental health, nutritional needs, clothing/showers/laundry, child-care, etc.
- Encourage CURIOSITY, CREATIVITY, and INQUIRY by providing FLEXIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE and SPACES to drive collaboration and play – structured and unstructured. Include places for calmness, confidentiality, and reflection, as well as social connection and excitement. Provide PURPOSEFUL DESIGN SOLUTIONS.
- **ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY** by leveraging existing community relationships and connections. Support new partnerships to **enhance LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES.**





DESIGN CONCEPT

Over the course of the past three months, the project team has developed six overall concepts with various approaches to the site and program adjacencies, to engage a dialogue with the DAG and stakeholders from each school and programs of the MPG. What has resulted from this process is a consensus-driven master plan titled the "Tree House" concept. The theme of this master plan is derived from the building orientation and integration on multiple levels:

- + Nestling of the building within the large existing oak trees on the site, taking advantage of their protection, shade, and connection to nature from a variety of viewpoints within the building
- + Developing the idea of a "cozy" interior space that is stacked on three levels, so each school has autonomy, yet can stay connected between each other
- + Perched above Buckman Field to the west, the building provides strong connections to this open space for views, as well as proximity of the gymnasium for direct indoor/outdoor access
- + Building orientation for solar access to provide energy savings and good daylight
- + Building materials that are warm, inviting and relate to the trees and canopy that surround the building

KEY PROGRAMMING CONSIDERATIONS

Through the development of the design concepts and feedback, the following design considerations emerged as common points of design direction, and are reflected in the proposed Master Plan:

- + Distributed learning communities, with Alliance and DART/Clinton in distinct areas, with shared resources between them
- + CTE on ground floor
- + Roof decks for outdoor access at all levels
- + Good daylight access
- + DART/Clinton with proximate location to entry and/ or separate entry


- + Gymnasium sized for standard high school court, with seating for 400-500 people
- + Distinct parking areas and drop-off for Reconnection and Teen Parent Services
- + Separation of DART/Clinton from Teen Parent Daycare
- + Reconnection Center bridging Reconnection Services and Alliance
- + Learning studios with adjacent breakout spaces for students to get away and decompress when needed

TRAUMA INFORMED DESIGN


A common theme in the DAG discussions was based around using Trauma Informed Design to influence the design and support the needs of the student populations in the MPG schools and programs. The design team has provided research, resources, and design principles that will help guide the development of the project to support this concept. Some of the key principles are:

- + Consistency, Predictability
- + Welcoming
- + Soft places
- + Places for confidentiality
- + Good acoustics and acoustic separation
- + Provide quiet spaces
- + Connection to the environment: visible landscape, access to outside
- + Personal Control / Choice
- + Emphasize personal space: choices for seating types, locations, quiet, and group areas
- + Use natural materials
- + Culturally respectful finishes, colors, and patterns
- Respectful of non-English speakers and communication needs: hearing impairment, limited literacy
- + Food, warmth, shelter, water
- + Fragrance-free environment






















THIRD FLOOR


SECOND FLOOR











VIEW FROM NORTHEAST





SUSTAINABILITY


Even at the early programming and master planning stage, the drive towards a sustainable, energy efficient, and carbon conscious design is at the forefront of the MPG project. With sustainability as a central theme within the guiding principles, the DAG and other stakeholders will play a key role in developing the sustainable strategies.

Some early brainstorming within the project team has identified these key opportunities:

- + Preservation of large trees and other natural resources
- + Good solar access for PV and solar energy capture
- + Good daylighting to support the learning spaces and work spaces
- + Basophilic design
- + Access to views
- + Indoor/outdoor connections
- + Environmentally conscious materials, including possible use of mass timber construction
- + Excellent indoor air quality, acoustics, lighting, and safe materials
- + Innovative design and construction methodologies




DAYLIGHT ACCESS PRIORITIES



Ample roof space for PV
 North and west facing exterior glazing
 East facade would need additional shading
 South facade will need exterior shading
 Building footprint

Energy Use





14 MULTIPLE PATHWAYS TO GRADUATION MASTER PLAN / MARCH 3, 2020 (REV4)

PROGRAM SUMMARY

| PROGRAM COMPONENT | 5/30/19 DRAFT | CURRENT |
|---|---------------|--|
| DART/CLINTON SCHOOL ALLIANCE RECONNECTION SERVICES & CENTER TEEN PARENT DAYCARE TEEN PARENT OFFICE | | 6,350 SF 30,670 SF 2,390 SF 2,130 SF 750 SF |
| SHARED SPACES SHARED ADMIN GYM/MULTI-PURPOSE* COMMONS LIBRARY FOOD/CLOTHES CLOSET OTHER (LOCKER ROOMS, CUSTODIAL, ETC.) | | 25,290 SF 2,000 SF 8,000 SF 3,000 SF 1,000 SF 300 SF 10,990 SF |
| SUBTOTAL (NET) GROSSING FACTOR (CIRCULATION, WALLS) | | 67,580 SF 19,755 SF |
| TOTAL | 85,031 SF | 87,335 SF + 2,304 SF |
| BENSON CAMPUS PARKING (SHARED) | 115 STALLS | 112 STALLS |

*Current seating capacity of 400. For an additional 100 capacity, an additional 1,000 SF would be required.

15 MULTIPLE PATHWAYS TO GRADUATION MASTER PLAN / MARCH 3, 2020 (REV4)





COST SUMMARY / ORIGINAL BUDGET AND CURRENT ESTIMATE

| | APPROVED BUDGET | CURRENT ESTIMATE | ALTERNATE |
|---|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| | Co-Location of Meek | MPG Masterplan | Provide an additional |
| | into MPG Building | "Tree House" | 100 seats in the gym |
| | May 2019 | | |
| HARD CONSTRUCTION COST | \$36,267,700 | \$40,961,145 | \$330,461 |
| 1.5% GREEN ENERGYTECH | \$552,300 | \$614,417 | \$5,032 |
| OFF-SITE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS | Not Included | Not included | \$0 |
| | * *** *** | | too5 (00 |
| HARD COSTS | \$36,820,000 | \$41,575,562 | \$335,493 |
| ESCALATION | \$9,415,800 | \$4,989,067 | \$71,246 |
| TOTAL HARD COSTS W/ ESCALATION | \$46,235,800 | \$46,564,629 | \$406,739 |
| TARGETED VALUE ENGINEERING (NO DEVIATIONS FROM MPG PROGRAM OR PPS STANDARDS) | \$0 | -\$330,000 | \$0 |
| TOTAL HARD COSTS | \$46,235,800 | \$46,234,629 | \$406,739 |
| SOFT COSTS | \$6,049,300 | \$6,315,354 | \$0 |
| FIXTURES, FURNISHINGS, EQUIPMENT | \$2,467,000 | \$2,467,000 | \$10,000 |
| TEMP SWING SPACE | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| CONTINGENCY | \$6,976,800 | \$6,710,746 | \$50,323 |
| ESCALATION | Included Above | Included Above | Included Above |
| TOTAL | \$61,728,900 | \$61,727,729 | \$467,062 |





APPENDIX /

Detailed Program Summary Conceptual Cost Estimate Design Advisory Group #1 Notes Design Advisory Group #2 Notes Design Advisory Group #3 Notes Design Advisory Group #4 Notes Design Advisory Group #5 Notes







Portland Public Schools Multiple Pathways to Graduation (MPG) Building at Benson Campus

| 28/2020 | | 1 | Room L | ist | | T | |
|----------|--|----------|------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------|---|
| | | | | | Teaching | # of students | Notes & New Benson HS Comparis |
| School | Program Components | Quantity | Area (SF) | Total (SF) | Stations | per space | etc |
| | Shared Spaces | | | | | | |
| | General Administration | | | 2,000 | | | |
| All | Entry Vestibule/Lobby | 1 | 400 | 400 | | | Confirm what admin would occupy th |
| All | Secretary | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | and size Open to main office space |
| All | Itinerant Office | 1 | 300 | 300 | | | Space for 3 staff |
| All | MPG Leadership Offices | 2 | 100 | 200 | | | |
| All | Campus Monitor | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| All | Staff Workroom / Mailroom | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| All | Conference Room for 12+ people (10' x 20') | 2 | 250 | 500 | | | Shared between Reconnection, Allian and Teen Parent. Two rooms can be opended into one large room. |
| All | Conference Room for 12+ people (10' x 20') | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| | Library / Media Center | | | 1,000 | | | |
| A 11 | Student Services | 1 | 0.000 | 5,700 | | 000 | |
| All | Commons | 1 | 3,000 | 3,000 | | 200 | Eating space. DART - All staff 50 ppl, Meek Prom 50 ppl, ABC Orientation 3 ppl, may require stage or presentation ability with AV and lighting |
| All | Table Storage | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| All | Kitchen, Servery, Support | 1 | 2,500 | 2,500 | | | |
| | Physical Education/Athletics | | | 11,050 | | | |
| All | Gymnasium | 1 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 1 | 400 | Includes bleacher seating for 400 and storage. Request for 500 seating on by Korinna would add 1,000 SF |
| All | Weight Room | 1 | 500 | 500 | | | |
| All | Boy's Locker Room/Shower | 1 | 600 | 600 | | | |
| All | Girl's Locker Room/Shower | 1 | 600 | 600 | | | |
| All | Multi-Purpose Toilet/Shower | 1 | 150 | 150 | | | All -User |
| All | Laundry Room | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | Acces too individuls shower or adjace |
| All | | | 200 | 200 | | | Acces too individuis shower or adjac |
| All | Field Storage | 1 | 250 | 250 | | | |
| All | PE Storage Student Lockers | <u> </u> | 250 1 | 250 500 | | | General lockers for student use. Cou |
| | | | | | | | be dispersed thru-out school |
| All | Food Pantry & Clothes Closet | | | 300 | | | In Shared Admin area, near elevator |
| | | | | | | | |
| All | Building Support All User Restrooms | 20 | 60 | 5,240 1,200 | | | Similar to Grant, per request of DAG |
| | | | | | | | |
| All | Family Restroom | 3 | 80 | 240 | | | One per floor, include baby changing station |
| All | Custodial Rooms | 3 | 100 | 300 | | | |
| All | | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | L |
| All | Mechanical, Electrical, MDF and IDFs | 1 | 1,200 | 1,200 | | | Lump sum, configuration to develop schematic design |
| All | Fire Pump Room | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| All | Building Storage Receiving | 3 | 500 400 | 1,500 400 | | | |
| | Net Total of Shared Spaces | | | 25,290 | 1 | N/A | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Alliance | | | | | | |
| Alliance | Administration Reception / Waiting | 1 | 200 | 3,490 200 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alliance | MPG Admin | 1 | 80 | 80 | | | |
| Alliance | Secretary | 2 | 100 | 200 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alliance | Prinicipal Office | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Alliance | Vice Prinicipal Office | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Alliance | Dean's Office | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Poekkeeper | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Alliance | Bookkeeper | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | |

Portland Public Schools Multiple Pathways to Graduation (MPG) Building at Benson Campus

| 28/2020 | | | Room I | _151 | | # of | |
|----------------------|--|----------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------|--|
| School | Program Components | Quantity | Area (SF) | Total (SF) | Teaching Stations | | - |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alliance Alliance | Decompression Space College/Career (PSE Center) | <u> </u> | 100 400 | 100 400 | | | Adjacent to Clinton Office or within |
| Alliance | Career Counselor Office | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Alliance | ESL Itinerant | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | Space for 1 staff |
| Alliance | Virtual Scholar | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Alliance | Special Ed Teacher | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | See Reconnection for 1 Special Ed |
| Alliance | Psychologist Office | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | |
| Alliance | Staff Workroom / Mailroom | 1 | 300 | 300 | | | |
| Alliance | Staff Lounge | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Alliance | Staff Restrooms | 2 | 60 | 120 | | | |
| Alliance | Conference Room for 12+ people (10' x 20') | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Alliance | Conference Room for 10 people (12' x 16'). | 0 | 0 | C | 1 | | See Shared Admin |
| Alliance | Health office (1 day/wk @ Meek now) | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | Available to all students |
| | Health Room | | | | | | |
| Alliance | | 1 | 150 | 150 | | | Available to all students |
| Alliance | Health Restroom | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | Available to all students |
| Alliance | General Academics Learning Studios | 10 | 750 | 18,600 7,500 | | 15 | See Reconnection Center below for 1 |
| Alliance | Small Learning Studios | 6 | 500 | 3,000 | | 10 | Classroom additional Assumed smaller size for less than half |
| Alliance | Breakout Spaces Discovery Room | 13 1 | 150 750 | 1,950 750 | | 15 | of classrooms Adjacent to Learning Studios |
| Alliance | Science Lab - Biology, Physics, Chemistry | 1 | 900 | 900 | | 15 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alliance | Science Lab - Physics, FPC, Biology, PBC | 1 | 900 | 900 | | 15 | |
| Alliance | Lab Prep with chemical storage | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | Adjacent to Science Labs. Direct access to lab preferred |
| Alliance | Natural Resources Lab | 1 | 900 | 900 | 1 | 15 | Provide outdoor area adjacent |
| Alliance | Flex Space | 5 | 500 | 2,500 | | | |
| Alliance | CTE Shops/Special Studies Auto Shop | 1 | 3,000 | 8,580 3,000 | | 15 | Specialty space - High ceiling. 4 bays. Have 3 above ground lifts now. Can the be re-located? Includes Engine room. Outdoor space for Auto parking (4 cars) and access to street. Share outdoor space with Manufacturing |
| Alliance | Manufacturing Shop | 1 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 1 | 15 | Specialty space - High ceiling. Need separate storage room. Want CR space in shop or adjacent to shop. Part of S.F. listed. Share outdoor space with Auto |
| Alliance | Design/Applied Arts | 1 | 900 | 900 | 1 | 15 | Prefer North light |
| Alliance | Digital Computer Lab | 1 | 900 | 900 | 1 | 15 | With recording booth |
| Alliance | Culinary Arts | 1 | 780 | 780 | 1 | 15 | Ability to open to Science room. Prefer to be adjacent to Commons |
| | | | | | | 260 | |
| | Net Total of Alliance Spaces | | | 30,670 | 25 | 360 | |
| | DART/Clinton School | | | | | | |
| DART | DART DART Office | 1 | 300 | 1,010 300 | | | Reception / Waiting, 2 Secretaries, File |
| DART | Administrator Office | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | storage Can be open office combined with DAR |
| | | | | | | | Office above |
| DART | Itinerants Office | 1 | 400 | 400 | | | Desks for: SLP & OT, Instructional Specialist, SPED TOSA, Psychologist, Counselor, Flex Adjacent to Conference room |
| DART | Conference Room for 4-6 people (12' x 12') | 1 | 150 | 150 | | | |

Portland Public Schools Multiple Pathways to Graduation (MPG) Building at Benson Campus

| 2/28/2020 | | | Room L | ist | | | |
|----------------------------|--|----------------|------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| School | Program Components | Quantity | Area (SF) | Total (SF) | Teaching Stations | # of students per space | Notes & New Benson HS Comparison etc |
| DART | Staff Restroom | 1 | 60 | 60 | | | |
| DAIT | Clinton School | | 00 | 5,340 | | | |
| Clinton | Clinton Office (SMS) | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | 1-2 adults supporting students. Should have visibility to Flex Space. |
| Clinton | Decompression Space | 1 | 100 | 100 | | | Adjacent to Clinton Office or within |
| Clinton | Staff Workroom & Lounge | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Clinton Clinton | Staff Restroom Conference Room for 4-6 people (12' x 12') | 1 | 60 150 | 60 | | | |
| Clinton | Learning Studios | 3 | 750 | 2,250 | 3 | 15 | |
| Clinton | Breakout Rooms | 3 | 100 | 300 | | | Adjecent to Learning Studios |
| Clinton | Science Lab | 1 | 900 | 900 | 1 | 15 | With storage & prep |
| Clinton | Flex Space | 1 | 500 | 500 | | | |
| Clinton | Art Studio / Library | 1 | 500 | 500 | | | With storage & prep |
| Clinton | All User Restrooms | 3 | 60 | 180 | | | Similar to Grant locker room public restrooms with open sink area |
| | Net Total of DART/Clinton School Spaces | | | 6,350 | 4 | 60 | |
| | Reconnection Services & Center | | | | | | |
| Poocenaction | Reconnection Services Reception / Waiting | 1 | 200 | 1,120 | | | |
| | Admin Offices | 2 | 100 | 200 | | | |
| Reconnection | Itinerant Office | 3 | 240 | 720 | | | Space for 9 staff |
| | Conference Room for 10 people (12' x 16') | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | See Shared Admin |
| | Reconnection Center - Shared with Alliance | | | 1,270 | | | |
| econnection / Alliance | Social Work Office | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | Share with Alliance |
| | Counselor office | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | Share with Alliance |
| | Special Ed Teacher | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | Moved 1Spec Ed from Alliance to Reconnection Ctr |
| econnection / Alliance | Classroom | 1 | 750 | 750 | 1 | 15 | With 2 "nook" areas in CR |
| Reconnection Ctr | Small group rooms | 2 | 80 | 160 | | | Adjacent to Classroom |
| | Net Total of Reconnection Spaces | | | 2,390 | 1 | 15 | |
| | Teen Parent | | | | | | |
| | Teen Parent Childcare | | | 2,130 | | | |
| | Infant Room Breastfeeding Room | 1 | 400 50 | 400 | | | |
| Teen Parent | Toddler Room Crawler Room | 1 | 400 | 400 | | | |
| Teen Parent Teen Parent | Restroom | 1 | 400 60 | 60 | | | With changing table |
| | Children's Restroom Changing Area | 1 | 60 60 | 60 60 | | | |
| Teen Parent | Nap Area | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Teen Parent | Office | 1 | 200 | 200 | | | |
| Teen Parent | Storage/Kitchen | 1 | 300 | 300 | | | |
| Teen Parent | Outdoor Play Area | | | 2,000 | | | Not in program summary |
| | Teen Parent Services | | | 870 | | | |
| | Director office | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | |
| | Reception/admin Counselor office | <u> </u> | 120 120 | 120 120 | | | Could be shared with other programs, |
| Teen Parent | Community Agent | 1 | 120 | 120 | | | there one day a week |
| Teen Parent | Conference Room for 10 people (12' x 16'). | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | See shared Admin |
| | Storage Itinerant Office | 1 | 90 300 | 90 300 | | | Space for 3 staff |
| | Net Total of Teen Parent Spaces | | | 3,000 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | Total Net Area Gross Factor (Circulation, Walls) | 22.5% | | 67,700 19,635 | | | |
| | Grand Total | | | 87,335 | 31 | 435 | |
| | | | | | 31 | 433 | |
| | Parking (Basement) | # stalls 83 | | Total Area 41,100 | | | |
| | Parking (Surface) | 19 | + | +1,100 | | + | 1 |



March 3, 2020 (Rev1)

Joseph Echeverri Bassetti Architects 721 NW 9th Ave, Suite 350 Portland, OR 97209

Project: MPG at Benson Polytech HS (Master Plan) **RE:** Project Scope Narrative

- 1) General
 - a) Project bid date: March 2021
 - b) Contract type: CMGC
 - c) Include 1.5% for Oregon Green Energy requirement.

2) Site and Civil

- a) All new utilities to site
 - i) Sanitary
 - (1) Distance: 150'
 - ii) Domestic Water
 - (1) Distance: 150'
 - (2) Pipe size: 2"
 - iii) Storm
 - (1) Connect to existing main: 150'
 - (2) Drainage for parking area: 1,200 lf
 - iv) Fire water
 - (1) Distance: 150'
 - (2) Pipe size: 6"
 - $(3) \ Vault and FDC$
 - v) Electrical
 - (1) Service lateral: 150 lf
 - (2) Site lighting: 15 ea
 - vi) Concrete sidewalks
 - (1) Area: 3,000 sf
 - (2) Rock base: 10"
 - vii) Landscaping
 - (1) Area: 20,000 sf
 - (2) Topsoil depth: 12"
 - viii) Fencing, chain link: 720 lf
 - ix) Gates: 3 each, 20' long, rolling chain link, manual
- 3) Baseline Building (All Floors, except as noted)
 - a) Stairs: steel frame with concrete filled pans
 - b) Exterior Walls: Non-bearing, balloon framed metal studs

- c) Cladding: 24 ga standing seam metal panels, similar to AEP span (mid-high range \$)
- d) Glazing area: 35%
- e) Glazing: aluminum storefront, dual pane
- f) Elevator 1: 2-stop, std finishes, hydraulic
- g) Elevator 2: 4-stop, std finishes, machine roomless traction
- h) Fully sprinklered
- i) HVAC: VRF system with VRF controls
- j) Ceilings at classrooms and offices: suspended ACT, PPS standard
- k) Ceilings in common areas: Applied acoustic panels to bottom of CLT deck
- l) Ceilings at restrooms: gypsum board
- m) Sunshades: include for 35% window ratio
- n) Interior guardrails (At stairs and openings shown in model)
- o) Assume 400 lockers 200 double high units
- p) Electrical: power and LED lighting
- q) Low voltage:
 - i) Fire alarm, telecom, clock & bell, access control, surveillance
- 4) Basement Parking
 - a) Area: 41,100 SF
 - i) Includes ramp from ground floor to basement
 - b) Floor-Floor ht: 11'
 - c) Room: Lobby for elevator and stair access
 - d) Excavation and 12" of base rock
 - e) Pad footings for CIP columns
 - f) Perimeter strip footings for CIP retaining/foundation walls
 - g) Shoring and lagging for entire perimeter. Left if place after foundation pour.
 - h) CIP perimeter retaining/foundation walls are poured against the shoring & lagging
 - i) Vertical structure: CIP concrete walls around the elevator and stairs and at retaining walls
 - j) Columns: CIP concrete
 - k) Slab on grade: 6" thick
 - l) Stairways: 2 each
 - m) Ceilings:
 - i) Parking: none. Underside of PT slab is not painted
 - ii) Elevator lobby: ACT
 - n) Plumbing: sump pump at elevator pit
 - o) HVAC: mechanical ventilation
 - p) Electrical: lighting only
 - q) Low voltage electrical
 - i) Access control to each stairway
 - ii) Fire alarm
 - iii) Security
 - r) Fencing: none
 - s) Swing arm gates at driveway entry
 - t) Coiling grilles for security at the entry/exit ramps

Ground floor

- u) Floor area: 30,675 sf
 - i) Gymnasium: 8,100 sf
 - ii) Elsewhere: 22,575 sf
- v) Floor-floor ht:
 - i) Gym: 24'
 - ii) Elsewhere: 15'
- w) Horizontal floor structure (parking ceiling): CIP PT slab.
- x) Vertical structure (exterior walls):
 - i) Gym: CIP concrete (212 lf x 24' ht = 5,088 sf). Interior face of these walls will receive steel stud furring, batt insulation, and gypbd.
 - ii) Elsewhere: Glulam support columns with metal stud framing between
- y) Vertical structure (interior spaces):
- i) Glulam support columns
- z) Interior walls:
 - i) Gym: steel stud framing, 24' ht
 - ii) Elsewhere: steel stud framing, 15' ht
- aa) Room count
 - i) Gym: 1 ea
 - ii) Elsewhere: 40 ea
- bb) Plumbing: 14 WC, 16 LAV, 1 DF, 2 water heaters, 4 showers, 4 urinals
- cc) Overhead garage doors (3) 10' wide, 12' tall, (1) 8' wide, 12' tall
- 5) Second Floor
 - a) Floor area: 28,330 sf
 - b) Roof area: 5,300 sf total
 - i) Non occupied: 3,725 sf
 - ii) Occupied: 1,575 sf)
 - c) Floor-floor ht: 15'
 - d) Horizontal floor structure: Glulam girders and beams, 5-ply CLT deck, with lightweight concrete topping floors/roofs
 - e) Vertical structure: CIP concrete walls at gym perimeter, Glulam column structure everywhere else
 - f) Plumbing: 12 WC, 13 LAV fixtures this floor
 - g) One sliding panel operable partition, 15' long, 9' tall
- 6) Third Floor
 - a) Area: 28,330 sf
 - i) Classrooms & offices:20,030
 - ii) Roof area: 8,300 sf
 - (1) Unoccupied: 5,150 sf
 - (2) Occupied: 3,150 sf
 - b) Floor-roof ht: 15'
 - c) Horizontal floor structure: Glulam girders and beams, 5-ply CLT deck, with lightweight concrete topping floors/roofs
 - d) Vertical Structure: Glulam columns
 - e) Plumbing: 8 WC, 16 LAV fixtures this floor
 - f) Two linear skylights, Kalwall type, 5' x 35' each
 - g) Three sliding panel operable partitions, 26' long, 9' tall

- 7) Roof
 - a) Roof Area: 28,500 sf
 - b) Horizontal roof structure: Glulam girders and beams, 5-ply CLT deck.
 - c) Perimeter metal framed parapet wall (1,140 lf)
 - d) Roofing: Siplast Modified Bitumen
 - e) Insulation: R-30 rigid, tapered
 - f) Two linear skylights, Kalwall type, 5' x 35' each
 - g) Internal roof drains (assume 1 per 2,000 sf)
 - h) Ladder to roof with hatch

8) Exclusions

- a) Essential facility designation
- b) Special foundation support such as piling or engineered fill
- c) Hazardous materials abatement
- d) Asphalt paving
- e) Demolition of existing structures
- f) Playground and playground equipment
- g) Structural steel for load bearing or seismic
- h) Fireproofing
- i) Penthouse
- j) Fire rated wall assemblies
- k) AV & sound system
- 1) Security glazing or bullet proof materials
- m) Modular or moveable storage systems
- n) Office furniture or cubicles
- o) Appliances

Respectfully submitted, Construction Focus, Inc.

Atur Hum By

Steve Gunn, President



BENSON POLYTECH HIGH SCHOOL MPG BUILDING



STATEMENT OF PROBABLE COST

Prepared for: Bassetti Architects Portland, OR

Prepared by: Steve Gunn

Hum

President Construction Focus, Inc.

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|------------|---------------------------------|---|----------------------|------|---|-----------|
| | Bui | Iding Gross Area | 128,435 | SF | | |
| | | Floor Gross Area | 28,330 | | | |
| | | Floor Gross Area | 28,330 | | | |
| | | ound Floor Gross Area | 30,675 | | | |
| | | sement Gross Area | 41,100 | | | |
| | BASEMENT FOUNDA | ΓΙΟΝ | | | | |
| | Erosion Control at Building | a | | | | 82,000 |
| | Mobilization | 9 | 1 | LS | 20,000,00 | 30,000 |
| | Surveying | | | LS | 30,000.00 25,000.00 | 25,000 |
| | Dewatering | | | LS | 20,000.00 | 20,000 |
| | Erosion control | | | LS | 7,000.00 | 7,000 |
| | Earthwork at Foundation | | - | 1 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 1,101,156 |
| | Bulk excavation | | 19,789 | CY | 40.00 | 791,556 |
| | Footing excavation | | 4,320 | | 45.00 | 194,400 |
| | Footing backfill | | 2,400 | | 48.00 | 115,200 |
| | Concrete Foundation | | 2,100 | | | 2,114,060 |
| | Shoring and lagging | | 8,800 | SF | 74.00 | 651,200 |
| | CIP spread footings | | | EA | 4,700.00 | 390,100 |
| | CIP strip footings | | 1,024 | | 260.00 | 266,240 |
| Bsmt | CIP retaining/foundation wal | ls | 8,800 | | 65.00 | 572,000 |
| Ramp | CIP retaining/foundation wal | | 1,320 | | 65.00 | 85,800 |
| Elev/stair | CIP shear walls | | 2,288 | | 65.00 | 148,720 |
| | Elevator Pits | | | | | 13,255 |
| Elevator | CIP walls | 8"w x 5'h | 191 | SF | 52.02 | 9,936 |
| | Mat slab | 18"t_reinf | 147 | | 22.58 | 3,319 |
| | Perimeter Drainage & Dew | atering | | | | 16,816 |
| | Foundation drain piping | pvc: 4" + gravel | 800 | LF | 21.02 | 16,816 |
| | Foundation Insulation & W | aterproofing | | | | 1,622,948 |
| Elevator | Waterproofing & mat | Tremco | 191 | SF | 32.40 | 6,188 |
| Bsmt | Waterproofing & mat: foundation | ation walls: Tremco | 8,800 | | 32.40 | 285,120 |
| Bsmt | Waterproofing & mat: under | | 41,100 | SF | 32.40 | 1,331,640 |
| | | BASEM | IENT FOUNDA | TION | HARDCOST | 4,950,235 |
| | SLAB ON GRADE | | | | | |
| | Concrete Slabs | | | | | 533,828 |
| Bsmt | Slab on grade | f/s/nl/fin 5"t rainf | 41,100 | SE | 9.87 | 405,657 |
| Donit | Stair pan treads/landing | f/s/pl/fin 5"t_reinf place/finish_2" | <u>41,100</u> 360 | | 9.87 11.84 | 4,262 |
| Bsmt | Aggregate base | crushed rock_12" | 2,816 | | 44.00 | 123,909 |
| | | _ | SLAB ON G | | | 533,828 |
| | | | | | | |

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|------------|--|--|---------|--------|----------|-----------|
| | FLOOR CONSTRUCTIO | N | | | | |
| | Floor Construction: Wood | | | | | 2,203,532 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Columns | GLB | 2,833 | LF | 15.38 | 43,572 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Beams | GLB | 4,047 | | 12.90 | 52,208 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Floor decking | CLT | 56,660 | | 32.00 | 1,813,120 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Subfloor sheathing | plywood_7/8 | 56,660 | | 4.20 | 237,972 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Hardware | allowance | 56,660 | | 1.00 | 56,660 |
| | Floor Construction: Concre | te | | | | 1,416,896 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Underlayment | lightweight conc | 56,660 | SF | 5.60 | 317,296 |
| Grnd Flr | PT slab | f/s/pl/fin 11"t_reinf | 30,675 | | 28.00 | 858,900 |
| Bsmt | CIP columns | | | EA | 2,900.00 | 240,700 |
| | | FLOOR C | | | HARDCOST | 3,620,428 |
| | | | | | L | |
| | ROOF CONSTRUCTION | 1 | | | | |
| | Roof Construction: Wood | | | | | 1,170,998 |
| Roof | Columns | GLB | 1,425 | LF | 34.00 | 48,450 |
| Roof | Beams | GLB | 2,036 | LF | 42.00 | 85,500 |
| | Decking | CLT: 5-ply | 28,330 | | 32.00 | 906,560 |
| | Roof sheathing | 5/8" structural | 28,330 | | 3.60 | 101,988 |
| Roof | Hardware | allowance | 28,500 | | 1.00 | 28,500 |
| | Roof Construction: Steel | | | | | 914 |
| | Elev hoistway | W-8x24 | 240 | LB | 3.81 | 914 |
| | | ROOF C | ONSTRUC | TION I | HARDCOST | 1,171,912 |
| | EXTERIOR WALLS | | | | | |
| | Exterior Skin System & Sea | lante | • | | | 375,062 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | | | 13,213 | SF | 18.06 | 238,630 |
| Grd Flr | Metal wall panel-vert Metal wall panel-vert | AEP Span_22 ga/rn-scrn/trims AEP Span_22 ga/rn-scrn/trims | 7,213 | | 18.06 | 130,303 |
| e.urn | Sealants & adhesives | allowance | 20,428 | | 0.30 | 6,128 |
| | Steel: Misc | anowanice | 20,420 | | 0.00 | 21,733 |
| Storefront | Box beam | HSS 8x4x3/8 | 3,995 | LB | 5.44 | 21,733 |
| | Wall Framing: Cold Formed | Steel | | | | 1,300,261 |
| Roof | Parapet wall | lt-ga steel studs/shtg | 3,420 | SF | 11.01 | 37,654 |
| Grd Flr | Exterior framed walls | lt-ga steel/shtg/insul/gypbd/pnt | 11,100 | | 32.40 | 359,640 |
| Flr 2 | Exterior framed walls | lt-ga steel/shtg/insul/gypbd/pnt | 10,164 | | 32.40 | 329,314 |
| Flr 3 | Exterior framed walls | lt-ga steel/shtg/insul/gypbd/pnt | 10,164 | | 32.40 | 329,314 |
| Grd Flr | Wall furring (gym ext walls) | lt-ga steel/insul/gypbd/pnt | 5,088 | | 25.20 | 128,218 |
| Grd Flr | Wall framing (gym int walls) | lt-ga steel/insul/gypbd (2)/pnt | 3,456 | | 33.60 | 116,122 |
| | Exterior Walls: Concrete | | | | | 330,720 |
| Grd Flr | CIP exterior walls at gym | | 5,088 | SF | 65.00 | 330,720 |

CONSTRUCTION FOCUS, INC. 541-686-2031 EUGENE, OREGON

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| | Rough Carpentry: Framing | | | | | 74,23 |
| | Rough carpentry | blocking & backing | 87,335 | | 0.50 | 43,66 |
| | Holdown | Simpson & connectors | 87,335 | SF | 0.35 | 30,50 |
| | Vapor Barriers & Insulation | n | | | | 333,14 |
| | Rigid insulation | thermal rigid_1.5" | 34,848 | SF | 2.56 | 89,23 |
| | WRB | 0 - | 34,848 | SF | 7.00 | 243,93 |
| | Signage | | | | Γ | 8,00 |
| Exterior | Signage | allowance | 1 | LS | 8,000.00 | 8,00 |
| | Painting & Sealing | | | | Г | 16,4 |
| Exterior | Paint: soffit | sealer on wood | 1,185 | SF | 2.75 | 3,2 |
| Grd Flr | Paint (gym ext walls) | prime + 2 top cts | 5,088 | SF | 2.60 | 13,2 |
| | | E | | ALLS H | HARDCOST | 2,459,644 |
| | EXTERIOR WINDOWS | | | | | |
| | Storefronts | | | | | 1,058,7 |
| Grd Flr | Storefront | Kawneer VG 451 T | 4,394 | SF | 92.00 | 404,2 |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Storefront | Kawneer VG 451 T | 7,115 | | 92.00 | 654,5 |
| | Wall Opening Elements | | | | Г | 74,5 |
| | Fenestration wrap | self-adhering rubber | 8,286 | LF | 9.00 | 74,5' |
| | | EXTE | | ows I | HARDCOST | 1,133,367 |
| | EXTERIOR DOORS | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 0.1.0 |
| | Doors | | | | | 84,9 |
| | | $a_1, a_2, f_1, f_2, h_3, h_4, h_5, h_7, h_7, h_7, h_7, h_7, h_7, h_7, h_7$ | • | PR | 9,000.00 | |
| Grd Fl | Storefront door | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) | 2 | | | |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Storefront door to roof | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) | 2 | PR | 9,000.00 | 18,0 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 | 2 | PR EA | 9,000.00 2,800.00 | 18,0 11,2 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller | 2 4 2 | PR EA EA | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 | 18,0 11,2 7,6 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" | 2 4 2 3 | PR EA EA EA | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller | 2 4 2 3 | PR EA EA | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" | 2 4 2 3 1 | PR EA EA EA EA | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 | 18,00 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 4 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 4 4 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,0 11,2 7,6 23,4 6,7 4 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 4 4 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting Paint: door & frame | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 4 4 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting Paint: door & frame ROOF COVERINGS | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 4 (TERIOR DC | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,71 4 4 85,360 1,453,88 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting Paint: door & frame ROOF COVERINGS Roofing | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal | 2 4 2 3 1 4 (TERIOR DC 28,500 13,600 | PR EA EA EA LEAF OORS F SF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 | 18,00 11,20 7,60 23,40 6,72 4 4 85,360 |
| Flr 2 & 3 Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl Grd Fl | Storefront door to roof Swing door Rapid entry system Overhead door Overhead door Painting & Grouting Paint: door & frame ROOF COVERINGS Roofing Roofing | alum_full glz_3x7 (2) HM_frm-HM_3x7 push button door controller OHD 10'-0" x 12'-0" OHD 8'-0" x 12'-0" primer/2 tp cts on metal E) BUR_Siplast/R-30 insul | 2 4 2 3 1 4 (TERIOR DO | PR EA EA EA EA LEAF OORS F SF SF SF | 9,000.00 2,800.00 3,800.00 7,800.00 6,720.00 110.00 HARDCOST | 18,0 11,2 7,6 23,4 6,7 4 85,360 1,453,8 783,7 |

CONSTRUCTION FOCUS, INC. 541-686-2031 EUGENE, OREGON

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------|----------|-----------|
| | Eaves & Soffits | | | | | 30,0 |
| Exterior | Soffit | mtl pnl | 1,000 | SF | 30.00 | 30,0 |
| | Flashings, Gutters & Dowr | nspouts | | | | 161,7 |
| | Parapet cap | stl-Kynar_24 ga | 1,140 | LF | 27.00 | 30,7 |
| | Flashing | allowance | 87,335 | | 1.50 | 131,0 |
| | Specialties | | | | | 74, |
| Flr 2 & 3 | Guardrail at roof | | 136 | LF | 110.00 | 14,9 |
| Roof | Fall protection | | 28,500 | | 2.00 | 57,0 |
| Roof | Roof rack for heat pump | tube steel frame_3'x7' | 1 | EA | 2,700.00 | 2, |
| | | ROC | OF COVER | INGS I | HARDCOST | 1,720,293 |
| | ROOF OPENINGS | | | | | |
| | Openings | | | | | 100,0 |
| Flr 3 | Skylights | Kalwall | 350 | SF | 112 50 | 39,3 |
| Roof | Skylights | Kalwall | 350 | SF | | |
| Skylight | Fall protection | | 700 | SF | 25.00 | 17, |
| | Roof hatch w/ladder | Bilco F-50-TB_4'x4' | 1 | EA | 4,360.69 | 4,5 |
| | | RC | OOF OPEN | INGS I | HARDCOST | 100,611 |
| | INTERIOR PARTITION | S | | | | |
| | Framed Walls | | | | | 674, |
| Bsmt | Wall framing | It-ga framing | 660 | SF | 7.50 | 4,9 |
| Flr 1-3 | Wall framing | It-ga framing | 350 SF 112.50 350 SF 112.50 350 SF 112.50 700 SF 25.00 1 EA 4,360.69 ROOF OPENINGS HARDCOST ing 660 SF 7.50 ing 660 SF 7.50 71,382 SF 1.00 | 669, | | |
| | Insulation, Wall Board, & F | Paint | | | | 945,8 |
| Flr 1-3 | Batt insulation | acoustic | 71,382 | SF | 1.00 | 71, |
| Flr 1-3 | Gypsum bd: wall | 5/8"_type: X LVL 4 | 178,454 | | 3.90 | 695,9 |
| | Paint: wall | prime/2 top ct on gyp bd | 178,454 | SF | 1.00 | 178,4 |
| | | INTERIC | OR PARTIT | IONS I | HARDCOST | 1,619,961 |
| | INTERIOR WINDOWS | | | | | |
| | Interior Windows & Storef | ronts | | | | 217, |
| Bsmt | Storefront | alum frame/glazing | 100 | SF | 89.25 | 8, |
| Flr 1-3 | Relite | HM frame/glazing | 3,600 | | 58.01 | 208, |
| | Painting, Coatings & Seala | | , , | | Γ. | 14, |
| | Paint: window frm_2-side | prime/2 top ct on window frame | 113 | EA | 125.00 | 14, |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | 231,886 |

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|----------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|-----------------|
| | INTERIOR DOORS | | | | | |
| | Doors, Frames & Hardware | | | | | 210,100 |
| Flr 1-3 | Swing door | SC_frm-HM_view glz_3x7 | 79 | EA | 2,500.00 | 197,500 |
| Bsmt | Storefront doors | alum frame/glazing/hdwr | 2 | PR | 6,300.00 | 12,600 |
| | Door Painting & Staining | | | | | 7,900 |
| | Paint: door & frame | 2 top ct on MDF/wd frm | 79 | LEAF | 100.00 | 7,900 |
| | | II | | DORS I | HARDCOST | 218,000 |
| | FITTINGS AND SPECIA | LTIES | | | | |
| | Marker & Tack Boards | | | | | 27,739 |
| | Whiteboards | Claridge series 1_8'-0 x 4'-0 | 16 | EA | 933.57 | 14,937 |
| | Tack Boards | Cork w/ Wood Trim_2'6"x9'h | 16 | EA | 800.14 | 12,802 |
| | Miscellaneous | | | | | 600 |
| Elevator | Pit Ladder | metal | 1 | EA | 600.00 | 600 |
| | Interior Signage | | | | | 9,750 |
| Interior | Signage | allowance | 78 | RM | 125.00 | 9,750 |
| | Toilet & Bath Accessories | | | | | 21,250 |
| RR | Toilet accessories | various types | 170 | EA | 125.00 | 21,250 |
| | Fire Protection Specialites | | | | | 5,40 1 |
| | FEC | fire extinguisher & cabinet | 15 | EA | 360.04 | 5,401 |
| | Fabricated Toilet Partitions | | | | | 35,173 |
| | Toilet partition: ADA | plastic | | EA | 1,037.13 | 6,223 |
| | Toilet partition: standard Toilet partition: screen | plastic plastic | | EA EA | 977.13 265.14 | 27,360 1,591 |
| | Tonet partition. Screen | FITTINGS A | | | | 99,913 |
| | | | | | | |
| | STAIR CONSTRUCTION | 1 | | | | |
| | Stairs: Steel | | | | | 150,000 |
| Flr 1-3 | Stairs: steel | mtl pan_8'w_24 risers | | SET | 25,000.00 | 100,000 |
| Bsmt | Stairs: steel | mtl pan_8'w_24 risers | 2 | SET | 25,000.00 | 50,000 |
| | | STAIR | CONSTRUC | TION I | HARDCOST | 150,000 |
| | WALL FINISHES | | | | | |
| | Wall Finishes & Finish Carp | entry | | | | 115,370 |
| | Finish carpentry | allowance | 87,335 | | 1.00 | 87,33 |
| RR | Ceramic tile | thin-set/backer bd_3"x3" | 900 | SF | 27.31 | 24,579 |
| | Wall covering | FRP | 450 | | 7.68 | 3,450 |
| | | | WALL FINI | SHES I | HARDCOST | 115,370 |

CONSTRUCTION FOCUS, INC. 541-686-2031 EUGENE, OREGON

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|-------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | FLOOR COVERINGS | | | | | |
| | Floor Coverings | | | | | 485,7 |
| | Floor coverings | allowance | 87,335 | SF | 5.20 | 454,1 |
| | Wall base: rubber | rubber_4" | 15,181 | | 1.80 | 27,3 |
| | Walk-off mat | | 360 | | 12.00 | 4,3 |
| | | FLO | OR COVER | INGS | HARDCOST | 485,787 |
| | CEILING FINISHES | | | | | |
| | Suspended Ceilings | | | | | 787,5 |
| Flr 1-3 | Ceilings | ACT suspended & adhered | 87,335 | SF | 9.00 | 786,0 |
| Bsmt | Ceiling: suspended | 2x4_ACT/grid | 192 | | 7.82 | 1,5 |
| | Gypsum Board & Painting | | | | | 4,5 |
| RR | Ceiling: gyp bd | 5/8" X_level 4 | 900 | SE | 4.10 | 3,6 |
| | Paint: ceiling | primer/2 top cts on gyp bd | 900 | | 0.90 | |
| | | CE | | SHES | HARDCOST | 792,016 |
| | ELEVATORS AND LIFT | S | | | | |
| | | . | | | | |
| | Elevators | | | 1 | | 290,0 |
| | Elevator #1 Elevator #2 | hydraulic_2-stop_std finishes | | EA | 115,000.00 | 115,0 |
| | EIEValor #2 | MRL_4-stop_std finishes | 1 | EA | 175,000.00 | 175,0 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| | | ELEVAT | ORS AND L | .IFTS | HARDCOST | 290,000 |
| | PLUMBING FIXTURES | ELEVAT | ORS AND L | .IFTS | HARDCOST | 290,000 |
| | | ELEVAT | ORS AND L | .IFTS | HARDCOST | |
| Bsmt | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures | fixture & piping | 1 | EA | 6,500.00 | 463,0 6,5 |
| Flr 1-3 | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures | | 1 61 | EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 | <mark>463,0</mark> 6,5 396,5 |
| Flr 1-3 Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains | fixture & piping | 1 15 | EA EA EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 | 463,(6,! 396,! 37,! |
| Flr 1-3 | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures | fixture & piping fixture & piping | 1 61 15 15 | EA EA EA EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 | <mark>463,</mark> (6,; 396,; 37,; 22,; |
| Flr 1-3 Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains | fixture & piping fixture & piping | 1 61 15 15 | EA EA EA EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 | 463,(6,! 396,! 37,! |
| Flr 1-3 Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains | fixture & piping fixture & piping PLUM | 1 61 15 15 | EA EA EA EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 | 463,0 6,5 396,5 37,5 22,5 |
| Flr 1-3 Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains Overflow drains | fixture & piping fixture & piping PLUM | 1 61 15 15 | EA EA EA EA | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 | 463,0 6,5 396,5 37,5 22,5 463,000 |
| Flr 1-3 Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains Overflow drains HVAC DISTRIBUTION S HVAC Equipment | fixture & piping fixture & piping PLUM | 1 15 5 | EA EA EA JRES | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 HARDCOST | 463,0 6,5 396,5 37,5 22,5 463,000 |
| Flr 1-3 Roof Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains Overflow drains HVAC DISTRIBUTION S | fixture & piping fixture & piping PLUM | 1 61 15 15 BING FIXTU | EA EA EA JRES | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 HARDCOST | 463,0 6,5 396,5 37,5 22,5 463,000 2,953,5 493,2 |
| Flr 1-3 Roof Roof | PLUMBING FIXTURES Fixtures Plumbing fixtures Plumbing fixtures Roof drains Overflow drains HVAC DISTRIBUTION S HVAC Supply/exhaust | fixture & piping fixture & piping PLUM SYSTEMS | 1 15 5 5 BING FIXTU | EA EA EA JRES | 6,500.00 6,500.00 2,500.00 1,500.00 HARDCOST | 463,0 6,5 396,5 37,5 22,5 463,000 2,953,5 |

| All Firs Sprinkler system_wet 128,435 SF 4.00 5 FIRE PROTECTION SPRINKLER SYSTEMS HARDCOST \$13,7 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS Electrical 9 All Firs Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All Firs Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 Power and Lighting 0,9 All Firs \$6,00 Power and Lighting & controls 41,100 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Epeders \$7,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Devices \$7,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring \$7,335 SF 3.60 1 Connections \$7,335 SF 3.60 1 Connections \$7,335 SF 3.60 1 Connections \$7,335 SF 1.00 SF | LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|---|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------|------|-----------|-----------------------|
| All Firs Sprinkler system_wet 128,435 SF 4.00 5 FIRE PROTECTION SPRINKLER SYSTEMS HARDCOST \$13,7 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS Image: Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 Arc flash study 128,435 SF 7.00 8 Power and Lighting 63,4 Fir 1-3 Feeders 87,335 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Lighting & controls 41,100 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Low corrols 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Fir 1-3 Bernet Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.60 1 7 Bernet AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 | | FIRE PROTECTION SPR | INKLER SYSTEMS | | | | |
| All Firs Sprinkler system_wet 128,435 SF 4.00 5 FIRE PROTECTION SPRINKLER SYSTEMS HARDCOST \$13,7 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS Electrical 9 All Firs Service gear 128,435 \$F 7.00 8 All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 \$F 7.00 8 Power and Lighting 6.07 \$7,335 \$F 7.00 8 Firs Arc flash study 128,435 \$F 7.00 8 Power and Lighting \$7,335 \$F 1.00 \$F Firs Arc flash study 128,435 \$F 1.00 \$F Power and Lighting \$7,335 \$F 1.00 \$F Firs Arc flash study 128,435 \$F 1.00 \$F Firs Arc flash study 100,00 \$F 1.00 \$F \$F 3.60 1 \$F \$F 3 | | Fire Sprinkler System | | | | | 513,74 |
| ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS Electrical 9 All Firs Service gear 128,435 [SF 7.00 8 All Firs Acr flash study 128,435 [SF 7.00 8 Power and Lighting 9 3,4 128,435 [SF 7.00 8 Fir 1-3 Feeders 87,335 [SF 0,50 6 34 Barnt Lighting & controls 41,400 [SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 [SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 [SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 [SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 [SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 41,100 [SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 [DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Brite alarm system full system 87,335 [SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full | All Firs | | | 128,435 | SF | 4.00 | 513,74 |
| Electrical 9 All FIts Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All FIts Arc flash study 128,435 SF 0.50 9 Power and Lighting 3,4 128,435 SF 0.50 3,4 Fit 1-3 Feeders 87,335 SF 0.50 4 Fit 1-3 Lighting & controls 41,100 SF 10.00 4 Fit 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fit 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fit 1-3 Branch wring 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fit 1-3 Bupervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Icw Voltage 7 7 7 6 0 1 Barnt AC/F A/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 6 0 1 Grd Fit Access control Fit 1-3 Btell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fit 1-3 Telecom system full syste | | | FIRE PROTECTION SPR | | EMS | HARDCOST | 513,740 |
| All Firs Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 SF 7.00 8 Power and Lighting 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Barnt Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 11.00 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.60 4 Low Voltage 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Low Voltage 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Barnt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Fir 1-3 Bel & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 1 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ElectricAL SErvice Gear & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 2 | | ELECTRICAL SERVICE | GEAR & PANELS | | | | |
| All Firs Service gear 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 SF 7.00 8 All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 SF 7.00 4 Fir 13 Feeders 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Barnt Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Fir 13 Devices 87,335 SF 1.00 4 Fir 13 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 13 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 13 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.60 4 Icow Voltage 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Conscions 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 13 Bit & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 1 Fir 13 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 <td></td> <td>Electrical</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>963,20</td> | | Electrical | | | | | 963,20 |
| All Firs Arc flash study 128,435 SF 0.50 Power and Lighting 3,4 Fir 1-3 Feeders 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Barnt Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 7.50 10 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 11.00 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,9 Custom casework & countertops 2 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM <td>All Firs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>128 435</td> <td>SF</td> <td>7.00</td> <td>899,0</td> | All Firs | | | 128 435 | SF | 7.00 | 899,0 |
| Power and Lighting 3,4 Fir 1-3 Feeders 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Barnt Lighting & controls 41,100 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 11.50 1,00 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wring 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Branch wring 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Iter 1-3 Branch wring 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Ac/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Ac/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Ac/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 < | | | | | | | 64,2 |
| Fir 1-3 Feeders 87,335 SF 7.50 6 Bsmt Lighting & controls 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 10.00 4 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Brevision & permits 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Classroom casework & countertops 2 2 2 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM | | - | | 120,400 | - | 0.00 | 3,427,5 |
| Bsmt Lighting & controls 41,100 SF 10.00 44 Fir 1-3 Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 11.50 1,00 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Marcial State 87,335 SF 3.00 2 3.54 3 Low Voltage 87,335 SF 3.54 3 3 5 3.54 3 Low Voltage 87,335 SF 3.60 1 6 DR 4,000.00 7 Barnt AC/FA/security 411 system 87,335 SF 1.10 7 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5, | Elr 1-3 | | | 07.005 | SE | 7.50 | |
| Fir 1-3 Lighting & controls 87,335 SF 11.50 1,0 Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.60 4 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.54 3 Low Voltage 7 87,335 SF 3.54 3 Barnt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grif Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1< | | | | | | | <u>655,0</u> 411,0 |
| Fir 1-3 Devices 87,335 SF 3.60 3 Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Barnt AC/FA/security 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 7 Fir 1-3 Bill & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 1.10 7 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 7 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,0 1 1 5,156,0 1 Science lab countertops allowance 15 RM 1,000.00 1 1,500.00 1 Science lab countertops a | | 0 0 | | | | | 1,004,3 |
| Fir 1-3 Connections 87,335 SF 3.00 2 Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 3.40 4 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.54 3 Low Voltage 7 87,335 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir ACC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 1.10 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Science lab countertops c 2 Classroom casework & countertops 2 2 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>SF</td> <td></td> <td>314,4</td> | | | | <u> </u> | SF | | 314,4 |
| Fir 1-3 Branch wiring 87,335 SF 5.40 4 Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.54 3 Low Voltage 7 Bsmt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 R 4,000,00 7 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 2 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,9 Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 15 RM 1,2,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 2 Classroom countertops allowance 18 RM 1,500.00 2 Specialties 2 2 4 3,000.00 2 S | | | | 87 335 | SF | | 262,0 |
| Fir 1-3 Supervision & permits 87,335 SF 3.54 3 Low Voltage 7 Bsmt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 7 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 Classroom casework & allowance 15 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 | | | | | | | 471,6 |
| Low Voltage 7 Bsmt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1.3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 2 Fir 1.3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 2 Fir 1.3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 1 Fir 1.3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, 5,156, 5,156, FIXED FURNISHINGS ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 22,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 2 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 3 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 3 | | 5 | | | | | 309,1 |
| Besnt AC/FA/security 41,100 SF 3.60 1 Grd Fir Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 1 Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 2 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance < | | | | 01,000 | | | 765,8 |
| Grd FIr Access control 6 DR 4,000.00 FIr 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 FIr 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 FIr 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 FIr 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 FIXED FURNISHINGS Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 26,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Specialties 2 | Bemt | | | 44 400 | SE | 2.60 | |
| Fir 1-3 Bell & clock system full system 87,335 SF 0.90 Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, FixED FURNISHINGS Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Specialties 2 2 2 2 2 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 2 400 0PG 275.00 1 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,000.00 2 <td< td=""><td></td><td>,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>147,9 24,0</td></td<> | | , | | | | | 147,9 24,0 |
| Fir 1-3 Fire alarm system full system 87,335 SF 3.20 2 Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, FIXED FURNISHINGS Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 2 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM 26,000.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 26,000.00 2 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 837 SF | | | full evetom | | | | |
| Fir 1-3 Intrusion, Surveillance System full system 87,335 SF 1.10 Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 FIXED FURNISHINGS Custom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 22,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 1 Classroom countertops allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Specialties 2 2 2 2 2 Specialties 2 2 2 2 Sing arm gates 2 837 SF 80.00 2 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 2 4 9,000.00 1 Window Treatment 2 2 4 9,000.00 | | | | | | | 279,4 |
| Fir 1-3 Telecom system full system 87,335 SF 1.60 1 ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156,4 FIXED FURNISHINGS Custom casework & countertops 22 Classroom casework & allowance 15 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 12,000.00 2 Classroom countertops allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 2 Specialties 2 FIr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 837 SF 8.0.00 Specialties 2 FA 5,500.00 Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 Window Treatment 2 FA 9,000 Window Treatment Colume blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 F 9,000 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>96,0</td> | | - | - | | | | 96,0 |
| ELECTRICAL SERVICE GEAR & PANELS HARDCOST 5,156, FIXED FURNISHINGS Classroom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework & allowance 15 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 2 Classroom countertops allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 2 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 Specialties 2 FIr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 8877 SF 80.00 Specialties 2 2 Swing arm gates 2 EA 9,000.00 Window Treatment 2 EA 9,000 Window Treatment 2 EA 9,000 2 Bamt Swing arm gates 2 EA 9,000 Window Treatment </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>139,7</td> | | | | | | | 139,7 |
| Custom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Specialties 2 RM 8,000.00 2 2 Flr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 837 SF 80.00 2 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 1 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 2 Window Treatment 2 EA 9,000.00 2 4 9,000.00 User blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 9.00 | | - | - | | | | 5,156,651 |
| Custom casework & countertops 2 Classroom casework allowance 1 RM 12,000.00 1 Science lab casework allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Classroom countertops allowance 1 RM 25,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 1 Specialties 2 RM 8,000.00 2 2 Flr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 837 SF 80.00 2 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 1 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 2 Window Treatment 2 EA 9,000.00 2 2 Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | | FIXED FURNISHINGS | | | | | |
| Classroom caseworkallowance15RM12,000.001Science lab caseworkallowance1RM25,000.001Classroom countertopsallowance15RM1,500.00Science lab countertopsallowance1RM8,000.00Specialties2837SF80.00Flr 2 & 3Operable partitions Lockers400OPG275.001BsmtSwing arm gates2EA5,500.001BsmtCoiling grille at ramp2EA9,000.001Window Treatment Louver blindsmetal_1/2"_manual7,115SF9.00 | | | tons | | | | 235,5 |
| Science lab caseworkallowance1RM25,000.00Classroom countertopsallowance15RM1,500.00Science lab countertopsallowance1RM8,000.00Specialties2Flr 2 & 3Operable partitions837SF80.00Lockers400OPG275.001BsmtSwing arm gates2EA5,500.00BsmtCoiling grille at ramp2EA9,000.00Window Treatment2EA9,000.00Louver blindsmetal_1/2"_manual7,115SF9.00 | | | | 16 | RM | 12 000 00 | 180,0 |
| Classroom countertops allowance 15 RM 1,500.00 Science lab countertops allowance 1 RM 8,000.00 Specialties 2 Flr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 837 SF 80.00 Lockers 400 OPG 275.00 1 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 Window Treatment 2 2 9.00 Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | | | | 1 | RM | | 25,0 |
| Science lab countertopsallowance1RM8,000.00Specialties2Flr 2 & 3Operable partitions Lockers837SF80.00BsmtSwing arm gates400OPG275.001BsmtCoiling grille at ramp2EA5,500.001Window Treatment Louver blindsmetal_1/2"_manual7,115SF9.00 | | | | | | | 20,0 |
| Specialties 2 Flr 2 & 3 Operable partitions Lockers 837 SF 80.00 Bsmt Swing arm gates 400 OPG 275.00 1 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 5,500.00 1 Window Treatment 2 EA 9,000.00 1 Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | | - | | | | | |
| Flr 2 & 3 Operable partitions 837 SF 80.00 Lockers 400 OPG 275.00 1 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 Window Treatment 2 2 2 Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | | · · · | | | 1 | _, | 205,9 |
| Lockers 400 OPG 275.00 1 Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 2 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 2 Window Treatment Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | Flr 2 & 3 | | | Q 27 | SF | 80.00 | 66,9 |
| Bsmt Swing arm gates 2 EA 5,500.00 Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 Window Treatment | | | | <u> </u> | OPG | | 110,0 |
| Bsmt Coiling grille at ramp 2 EA 9,000.00 Window Treatment | Bsmt | | | | | | 11,0 |
| Window Treatment | | | | | | | 11,0 |
| Louver blinds metal_1/2"_manual 7,115 SF 9.00 | | | | _ | | -, | 64,0 |
| | | | metal 1/2" manual | 7.115 | SF | 9.00 | 64,0 |
| FIXED FURNISHINGS HARDCOST 505,4 | | | | | | | 505,493 |

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|----------------------|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | SITE WORK | | | | | |
| | General Conditions | | | | | 31,000 |
| | Mobilization | | 1 | LS | 12,000.00 | 12,000 |
| | Surveying | | | LS | 10,000.00 | 10,000 |
| | Erosion control | | 1 | LS | 3,400.00 | 3,400 |
| | Traffic control | | 1 | LS | 5,600.00 | 5,600 |
| | Demolition of Site Compone | ents | | | | 21,720 |
| | Demo hardscape | x_apshalt/concrete | 44,000 | SF | 0.38 | 16,720 |
| | Demo storm system | x_drainage piping | 200 | | 25.00 | 5,000 |
| | Paving | | | | | 69,381 |
| | Site excavation | | 1,510 | CY | 29.00 | 43,790 |
| Sidewalk | Aggregate base | crushed rock_4" | 69 | TON | 67.00 | 4,591 |
| | Sidewalk | conc_4" | 3,000 | SF | 7.00 | 21,000 |
| | Landscaping & Improveme | nts | | | | 162,980 |
| | Fencing | chain-link_6' ht | 720 | LF | 34.00 | 24,480 |
| | Gates | chain-link_20' rolling | | EA | 4,500.00 | 13,500 |
| | | | | | , | |
| | Landscaping | plants/soil/irrigation | 20,000 | SF | 6.25 | 125,000 |
| | | | | | 6.25 HARDCOST | 125,000 285,081 |
| | | | | | | |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES | | | | | 285,081 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems | plants/soil/irrigation | SITE W | ORK | HARDCOST | 285,081 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system | plants/soil/irrigation | SITE W | LF | HARDCOST 80.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system | plants/soil/irrigation | SITE W | ORK | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole | SITE W | LF EA | HARDCOST 80.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout | SITE W | LF EA EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" | SITE W | LF EA EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" | SITE W | LF LF | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" | SITE W | LF EA EA LF LS | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water Fire system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" pipe/trench/bkfill_6" | SITE W | LF EA LF LS LF | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 15,750 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" | SITE W 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 | LF EA EA LF LS | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 15,750 24,000 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water Fire system Fire system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" pipe/trench/bkfill_6" Vault: DDCV: 6", DDCV: 3" | SITE W 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 | LF EA LF LS LF EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 105.00 24,000.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 15,750 24,000 1,500 |
| Main Line | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water Fire system Fire system Fire system Fire system Fire system Storm Sewer Systems | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" pipe/trench/bkfill_6" Vault: DDCV: 6", DDCV: 3" FDC | SITE W 150 1 150 1 150 1 150 1 1 1 1 | LF EA LF LS LF EA EA EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 105.00 24,000.00 1,500.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 15,750 24,000 1,500 95,450 |
| Main Line Parking | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instat Fire Water Fire system Fire system Fire system Fire system Storm Sewer Systems Storm system | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" pipe/trench/bkfill_6" Vault: DDCV: 6", DDCV: 3" FDC piping_8" | SITE W 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 | LF EA LF LS LF EA EA EA EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 105.00 24,000.00 1,500.00 95.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 15,750 24,000 1,500 95,450 14,250 |
| | Landscaping UTILITIES Sanitary Sewer Systems Sanitary system Sanitary system Sanitary system Domestic Water Water system Assist EWEB tap/meter instal Fire Water Fire system Fire system Fire system Fire system Fire system Storm Sewer Systems | plants/soil/irrigation piping_6" manhole cleanout pipe/trench/bkfill_2" pipe/trench/bkfill_6" Vault: DDCV: 6", DDCV: 3" FDC | SITE W 150 1 150 1 150 1 150 1,200 4 | LF EA LF LS LF EA EA EA EA | HARDCOST 80.00 3,500.00 550.00 70.00 600.00 105.00 24,000.00 1,500.00 | 285,081 17,700 12,000 3,500 2,200 11,100 10,500 600 41,250 |

| LOC | ITEM | DESCRIPTION | QNTY | UNIT | \$/UNIT | TOTAL \$ |
|-----------|----------------------------|--|----------------|---------|---------------|------------------|
| | Site Lighting | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | 84,6 |
| | Light fixture SB | | | EA | 4,384.13 | 65,7 |
| | Light pole bases | | | EA | 669.38 | 10,0 |
| | Conduit_buried | U/G PVC_3" | 150 | LF | 59.00 | 8,8 |
| | | | UTIL | ITIES I | HARDCOST | 250,153 |
| | | COST OF WORK | TOTAL | | [| 29,906,310 |
| | | Markups to the hardcost: | | | | |
| | | CMGC Contingency | 5.00% | | | 1,495,3 |
| | | Design & Estimating Contingency | 15.00% | | | 4,710,2 |
| | | General Conditions: | 6.00% | | | 2,166,7 |
| | | Bond & Insurances Overhead & Profit: | 2.40% 4.50% | | | 918,6 1,763,8 |
| | | HARD CONSTRUCTIO | | | | 40,961,144 |
| | | 1.5% Solar Requirement | 1.50% | | L | 614,4 |
| | | TOTAL HARD CONSTRUCTIO | | | | 41,575,562 |
| | | Escalation: | 12.00% | | • | 4,989,0 |
| | | BASE BID | TOTAL: | | | 46,564,62 |
| | Refer to the "Scope of V | Work" for more detailed information. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| TES | | | | | | |
| age rates | | wie est at 150/ which is the middle of the n | raia ata di ra | | 00(to 200()) | |
| e Design | | y is set at 15% which is the middle of the p | rojected ra | ange (1 | 0% 10 20%) | |
| CLUSIO | NS | | | | | |
| | and Oregon Corporate Ac | tivity Tax (per PPS) | | | | |
| sian fees | s, permit fees, system dev | elopment fees, utility hookup charges, test | ing, BOLI i | fee. | | |

Design fees, permit fees, system development fees, utility hookup charges, testing, BOLI fee. Hazardous materials abatement, moving expenses, anti-graffiti coating, fireproofing.

Overexcavation, rock excavation, wet weather sitework.

| ABBREVIATIONS | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| EA= Each | SF=Square Feet | BCY=Bank Cubic Yard |
| LF= Linear Feet | LS=Lump Sum | TN=Ton |
| SY=Square Yard | OPNG=Opening | LB=Pounds |
| PR=Pair | HT=Height | |
| | - | |

MPG BUILDING AT BENSON CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP SESSION #1 SUMMARY AND NOTES







Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #1 Summary & Notes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MEETING DETAILS

| Meeting Location | Agenda | |
|---|-------------|---|
| Alliance High School at Benson, 546 NE 12th Ave, Portland, OR 97232 | 6:00 - 6:05 | Arrival & Welcome |
| Attendees | 6:05 - 6:30 | Introduction Activity |
| PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS (PPS): | 6:30 - 6:40 | Project Update - PPS |
| Brian Oylear, Project Director Jamie Hurd, Project Manager | 6:40 - 7:15 | Guiding Principles Activity + Overview + Breackout and Discussion |
| DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS: Joel Shapiro Ursula Loret de Mola Matt Eide | 7:15 - 7:55 | Multi-Use Space Activity + Overview + Breackout and Discussion |
| Iris Torres Lorna Fast Buffalo Horse Allison Adams Nathaniel Edmunds Susan Kaller Mark Van Hoomissen | 7:55 - 8:00 | Public Comment |
| Cheryl James Korinna Wolfe | | |
| | | |

DESIGN TEAM

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects Aydin Ehran, Bassetti Architects Betty Lou Poston, Bassetti Architects

INTRODUCTION ACTIVITY


DAG members paired up and shared their personal goals and aspirations for the project with each other, and then shared out to the entire group. Here are the outcomes:

- + Trauma Informed Space
- + Have a space that is designed for school
- Design a school that meets students needs and inspires students and staff to dream big about student's futures
- + Varied size classrooms/suites
- + Many confidential spaces
- + Showers, laundry and food pantry
- + Whole school gatherings
- + Movement
- + Welcoming for community and families
- + Student contribution
- + Flexible multi-used building
- + Garden roof top
- + Glass facing the field
- Equity of Facilities (Gym, stage, child care, labs, CTE spaces, transportation, designed for young adults, designed with trauma-sensitive lens, Cafeteria, meeting spaces, office spaces for partner involvement and presence)
- + Student centered
- + Fits the population
- + Trauma informed
- + Space that clearly communicates to our families that we value you and your child and welcome you back into our school system.

PROJECT UPDATE

Brian Oylear of PPS, and Joe Echeverri of Bassetti went over project updates related to schedule, board activity, and conceptual master planning:

- + Board resolution approved in March 2019 paved path for project moving forward.
- + Evaluation of adding Alliance at Meek to MPG building in process, board action in late May to determine outcome.
- Masterplan options presented to board are only for purposes of sizing building and budget - design of building and programming to require more deep







involvement with DAG and stakeholders.

- + Option that includes Meek into building is approximately 75,000 SF with a lower parking level for shared parking with Benson Polytechnic HS.
- Other options were requested for exploration incorporating CTE into Benson campus, leaving Meek as-is, and renovation of Meek at current location.
- Incorporation of CTE into Benson campus was not the preferred option by DAG members - they noted that there are chalenges with scheduling, sharing space, and adjusting hte the different needs of the students in the MPG populations.
- + Noted that some equipment at Meek could be reused in new school.


GUIDING PRINCIPLES

An overview of the process to develop Guiding Principles for the project was introduced by Joe Echeverri of Bassetti Architects. Examples of the themes that can organize these Guiding Principles was shared, and an activity was introduced to help the process of developing principles for this project.


DAG members broke into groups and filled out the following sentence:

The school will support _____ by providing _____


The results from the exercise are on the following page.



GROUND FLOOR



FIRST FLOOR



SECOND FLOOR

GUIDING PRINCIPLES RESULTS

"The school will support wellness by providing space for movement, showers, laundry, mental health support, childcare, culinary experiences, roof top garden - all rat and mouse free - at both Alliance campuses, and provide breakfast, lunch and dinner for our hungry learners."

"The school will support future preparation by providing CTE, college and career counseling, community partnerships, guidance counseling, real science labs, flexibly-sized classroom spaces for individualized, small, and large group learning."

"The school will support earth-sustaining innovation by providing maker space, interdisciplinary, proficiency-based, project-based, 21st century technology-infused learning, connected to the greater community."

"The school will support increased visibility and decreased fragmentation by providing greater course offerings through serving 300 Alliance students in one fully functional building, honoring of the indigenous land on which it is built, honoring intergenerational relationships."

"The school will support students' social capital by providing one integrated fullystaffed modern Alliance HS with DART Clinton and the opportunity to create greater visibility for our students."

"The school will support culturally-sustaining family involvement by providing adequate space for families, extended families and fosters culturallysustaining events and services dedicated space for all of these."

"The school will support a continuum of learning supports by providing ADAaccessible features (bathrooms, etc.) with regard to sexually/genderdiverse and learning/linguistic/behaviorally diverse learners."

"The school will support re-engagement by providing flexible, personal, warm, modern, clean, accessible spaces for learning and wrap-around services."

"The school will support intelligence of students by providing many instructional modalities."

"The school will support purposeful learning by providing dedicated spaces for learning and creating projects and developing connections through collaboration and interdisciplinary work, cohort, individual, and varied learning groups."

"The school will support nutritional needs by providing kitchen and food pantry."

"The school will support a variety of learning styles by providing many flexible spaces able to accommodate community resources, physical activities, theatrical events, food insecurities, clothing needs, parking, childcare, lockers, cafeteria, redirection "green sheet" rooms, mental/social/ emotional support."

"The school will support diversity and inclusion by providing spaces that reflect our students' racial, sexuality, ethnic, ability, cultural and gender culture."

"The school will support student safety by providing accessible spaces for people with different physical, mental and academic abilities."

"The school will support the feeling that students just won the school lottery by coming here by providing the highest quality experience of space and material culture, to compensate for the years of inequity, mistreatment, and white supremacy."

"The school will support trusting relationships by providing flexible, confidential meeting spaces."

"The school will support community engagement by providing a de-institutionalized and de-colonized vision of neighborhood involvement and educational opportunity."

"The school will support mental wellness by providing spaces for "pressure-release activities" inside and outdoors."

"The school will support curiosity and inquiry by providing programs and spaces that leverage relationships and relevance to drive collaboration and play... structured and unstructured."

MULTI-USE SPACE

Joe Echeverri of Bassetti Architects provided examples of Multi-Use spaces. A breakout activity engaged DAG members to provide ideas about amenities and features of an effective Multi-Use space for the school. Follow-up regarding this activity will occur in a later DAG meeting.

DISCUSSION

- + MET School in Oakland, CA has good example spaces for reference
- + Appreciate the discussion around student-centered space. Would like to have students and staff at the next meeting.
- + To allow students and staff to attend, suggest finding different times for different people to be able to attend a more fluid involvement process.
- + Lunch with students a good approach, and the only way DART students would be able to participate.
- + Holding DAG meetings in different spaces to support the 2-3 different settled student populations.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

NEXT STEPS

Board of Education Worksession, May 21 Board of Education Meeting, May 28 DAG #2, to be scheduled after the summer break

MPG BUILDING AT BENSON CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP SESSION #2 SUMMARY AND NOTES NOVEMBER 7, 2019







Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #2 Summary & Notes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MEETING DETAILS

| Meeting Location | Agenda | |
|---|-------------|--|
| Benson Polytechnic High School, E105 546 NE 12th Ave, Portland, OR 97232 | 6:00 - 6:05 | Arrival & Welcome |
| Attendees | 6:00 - 6:15 | Introduction Activity |
| PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS (PPS): | 6:15 - 6:30 | Kenton Swing Site Debrief |
| Brian Oylear, Project Director Jamie Hurd, Project Manager | 6:30 - 7:05 | Guiding Principles Follow-Up Activity + Group + Discussion |
| DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS: | | |
| Allison Adams | 7:05 - 7:40 | Collaborative Learning Activity |
| Cathy Reynolds | | + Group + Discussion |
| Cheryl James | | |
| Donee Deschler Elli Sussman | 7:40 - 8:00 | Site Analysis Activity |
| Elise Huggins | 8:00-8:05 | Wrap Up |
| Emily Etzkorn | 0.00 0.00 | |
| Erlinda Badinas | | |
| Jeanne Yerkovich | | |
| Jeffrey McGee | | |

GENERAL PUBLIC

Korinna Wolfe Lisa Veatch Max Whitehouse Nathaniel Edmunds

Susan Kaller Susan McLawhorn Ursula Loret de Mola

Stephen Coy Kevin Clark Jessica Murchison Christina

DESIGN TEAM

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects Lydia Burns, Bassetti Architects Jake Rose, Bassetti Architects

INTRODUCTION ACTIVITY

DAG members stated their names and shared a few things that they were excited about seeing in the new MPG building. Here is a sampling of answers:

- + Interested in how all perspectives will be in the room with grouping of programs.
- + The group is coming together in collaboration.
- + Excited about creating beautiful space where students can feel valued and inspired.
- + Creating space that will meet academic needs AND social, emotional needs.
- Having a place where kids feel validated; not pushed out – not shoved into outdated space.
- + Interested in creating a place where the design takes into account trauma-informed best practices.
- + Excited about planning a great space where we can welcome our students AND their families.
- + This is an opportunity to create, offer high quality space that is warm and inviting, can provide up-todate access to technology.
- + Excited about the idea of childcare on-site. Our teen parents need a childcare option that is close to where they go to school.
- + Excited about how CTE programs can support these students.

KENTON SWING SPACE DEBRIEF

DAG members shared feedback from a recent visit to the Kenton swing space:

- + Liked the access to the space in the portables.
- + More space is desperately needed and Kenton has it.
- + Appreciated the access to a real gym and cafeteria space normal school services.
- + Liked the small theater.
- + Kenton has a place for movement!
- + Valued that there was access to a shower this is something that is desperately needed for vulnerable population, many experiencing homelessness.
- + Appreciated access to spaces for confidential







meetings / conversations.

+ Joe Echeverri of Bassetti Architects noted that the walk-through sparked conversation of how all of these different schools / programs can visualize working together.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOLLOW UP ACTIVITY

A list of Guiding Principles for the project that were identified during the last meeting were distributed to DAG members. They were asked to identify themes and pull out associated words. Some results are listed below (items in **bold** were mentioned by multiple groups):

THEMES:

- + Trauma-informed design approach
- + Non-ethnocentric approach
- + Intergenerational/for all age groups (infants through age 21)
- + Multiple school identities
- + Belonging
- + Community engagement
- + Exposure to nature/connection to outdoors
- + Safety/Security including parking
- + Welcome, wanted, worthy
- + Different dimensional learners
- + CTE/PBL educational delivery
- + More class options
- + Adaptability/Flexibility
- + Confidentiality and respect
- + Restorative
- + Storage, food pantry, clothing, showers, laundry for students
- + Wellness, self care, quiet places
- + Space for floaters
- + No drama
- + Post-high school readiness
- + Separation
- + Learning environment
- + Mental wellness
- + Community engagement
- + Technology
- + Child care

| | and the second |
|--|--|
| THEMES | ASSOCIATED |
| 1. | words |
| TEAUMA INFORMED DESIGN APPEALL NON ETHNOCENTAL APPROACH REACH ALL AGE UROUPS (DESIGN FOR ALL OF THEM (DESIGN FOR ALL OF THEM (DESIGN FOR ALL OF THEM (DESIGN FOR ALL OF THEM HULTIFLE SCHOOL IDENTIFS BELONKING COMMUNITY ENCAGEMENT EXPOSURE TO NATURE SECURITY/SECURE SPACE WELLIME, WANTED, WORTHY | WORDS SENSITIVE TO COUR UGHTING - FLEXIBLE NO ISENDOUS SPACE SEFARATION BUT ALSO TOGETH MODINIONS SUPPORT MELOPAINES INFORMATION DAYLIBAT, UIENS/ BAUNNESS DAYLIBAT, UIENS/ BAUNNESS DAYLIBAT, UIENS/ BAUNNESS DAYLIBAT, UIENS/ BAUNNESS DAYLIBAT, UIENS/ BAUNNESS NOT INSTITUTIONAL DESIDN COZY, WARM, CIRCULAR MIT NOT BOXY, ADEAUNTE PADENING NOT INSTITUTIONAL TERMINIS NOT BOXY, ADEAUNTE PADENING ART, CTE ALL STUDENTS MOODS T |
| DIFFERENT DIMENSIONAL LEAR NEWS | ALL SCHOOLS COULD BENEFIT |
| ADAPTIBILITY | FLEXIBLE FOR ALL LEARING STYLES |
| APPEOPRIATE SIZED SPACES CONFIDENTIALITY & RESPECT | |
| PESTORATIVE Intergenentime | |

ASSOCIATED WORDS

- Calm +
- Sensitive to color, lighting, flexible +
- Indigenous space +
- Separation with inclusion +
- Individual schools/programs are all different +
- Welcoming, inviting +
- Balance between families and security +
- Daylight, views +
- Balanced therapeutic environment with solar + gain/thermal comfort
- Intentional spatial design +
- Cozy, warm, organic, not institutional +
- Art, CTE, other programs +
- All students needs met, for all schools/ + programs
- Flexible for all learning styles +
- Protected/Acoustics +
- Allowed to heal here +
- Pride +
- Identity +
- Refuge +
- Accessible +
- Wellness +
- Person-centered +
- Flexibility +
- Safety, especially for marginalized + populations)
- Collaborative space, private space, creative + space
- Trauma informed design: staff +
 - decompression space, gentle bell system, lighting, non-traditional classrooms, flexible space, nooks, courtyard.
- Culturally reflective: student support, families, + indigenous, race, gender ethnicity, sexuality
- Meet in circles +
- Color and art +
- Kitchen open to families, changing stations, + access to technology, information sharing, celebrate students
- Furnishings, space +
- Innovation +
- **Sustainable** +
- Longevity, not trendy +
- Trade programs, industry partners +
- Healing, inclusive, engaging, transparent, +

THEMES

LEARNING ENVIRONMENT

Montal Wellners

Committy Depagement

Techology

Flexibility

CIE PRegramming

Provess Salety & Sewhitm

Safety & Soundary Child Care

ASSOCIATED NORPS

DAMMGHT, USUS

convection to nature & outsoors. Skylights Collaborative space Private space, creater prima interned delig Mantu bell Syden, Lighting Mun-tool inde chastrones Likita space, nooks, courthad whendy verticative, strate Cutturely Valentie, Strend Aurilies, Indigionous, Hee, Studen, ellinicity, Sociality, Meetine construction changing Stations, access to feelinalway, intermation Sharing, cedente students.

funishings, Space

(montion, Alexibility Sustainable, lengenity, not the trade prograds, industry particip Healing, industry Ongregine transperiest sense of othership, intertimel

welcoming & Safe balance of faugoway cu threally responsive traven informed.

casy a cuess quality education Cocation access to plug, outdown 0-3 age

Sevente airess crib exition

Security

sense of ownership, intentional

- Balance of transparency +
- Culturally responsive +
- Easy access +
- Quality education +
- Location +
- Access to play, outdoors for 0-3 age +
- Separate access +
- Crib exiting +
- Security +

COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (AND OTHER RELATED) ACTIVITIES

DAG members formed small groups and were asked to list as many 'learning activities' as they could for each level of collaboration and write each of them on a separate sticky note. After writing as many activities as they could think of, members worked in a group to place them in the appropriate category: individual/one-on-one, small group (up to 15), class size (15-30), large group (30+). Results are listed below :

INDIVIDUAL:

- + Phone calls to student families
- + Planning space
- + SPED evaluations
- + Restrooms single stall/all gender
- + Gym showers
- + Meetings with therapists/counseling
- + Water stations
- + Health/mental wellness and access to services and resources
- + Tutoring, 1:1 or 1:2-3
- + Independent work/places for kids who need to work away from others
- + Walk/movement breaks
- + Chemistry labs for make-up days
- + Quiet places to cool down or escape
- + Spray booth (paint, finishes)
- + Private space for meetings with probation officer
- + Computer, printer for student use
- + Computers for student research, writing
- + Reading nooks visually obscured, acoustic
- + Student laundry
- + Independent math
- + Cozy spot
- + Place to pump milk and/or breastfeed

SMALL GROUP:

- + Meet with families and students
- + Food and clothes closet
- + Lunch for small groups
- + Meet with a "wrap" team (6-8)
- + Whole-class instruction (up to 15 students, 2 teachers)
- + Small group meeting space for planning and collaboration technology, flexible seating, note walls
- + Tutoring, academic support
- + Team meetings with agency partners and families (up to 15
- + Reception space/secretary







Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #2 Summary & Notes

- + Meetings (3-15 people)
- + Conferencing
- + Gardening/nature time learning to cook them too
- + Small group instruction (2-3)
- + Whole class instruction (approx. 9 students)
- + Art
- + Small group reading and discussion within larger group
- + Virtual scholars include labs on-site
- + Chemistry labs groups up to 4
- + Learning center tutoring for virtual scholar
- + Food pantry for students
- + Print making
- + Community meeting to meet students before they begin classes
- + Video editing
- + Welding
- + 3D modeling
- + Identity groups private space
- + Messy project room to spread out work
- + Cut random materials for assemblage, etc.
- + Paint/draw
- + 3D print
- + Soft places to sit
- + Mindful movement with students
- + Sports basketball, soccer, etc

CLASS SIZE:

- + Family Class car seat safety, CPR, Nutrition
- + Staff meetings
- + Parenting groups
- + Classrooms with space for "taking space" and multiple modes of learning
- + Art space sinks, storage
- + Teaching world language space to move technology projector and audio visual
- + Science lab sinks, animal tanks, plug-ins
- + Community meeting
- + Presentations (with technology)
- + Office team meetings 10 people
- + Garden class
- + Debate
- + Direct instruction notes and discussion
- + Spread out space for portfolio and project work (language, science, history, etc.)
- + Read aloud
- + Throw things off roof

- + Online learning space (virtual scholars) approx.20 students
- + Gym PE, sports, movement. A real, full gym.
- + Project design/collaborative thinking.
- LARGE GROUP:
 - + Small field for outdoor recreation
 - + Indoor rec space with basketball
 - + Lunch for large group
 - + All-school meeting- circle (50 people)
 - + DART all staff meetings (50 people)
 - + Staff meetings
 - + Lunch hangout
 - + Celebrations auditorium sized
 - + Indoor walking track
 - + Performance
 - + MORP (i.e. school dance) large group celebratory gatherings
 - + Cafeteria

SITE ANALYSIS ACTIVITY

DAG members once again formed three small groups. Each group received two site plans - one showing only the immediate surrounding context and another showing a much larger extent of the surrounding neighborhood. They were asked to review the site and comment/draw input on the following aspects of the site:

- + Pedestrian Access
- + Vehicular Access
- + Key points of entry
- + Views, sun angle, shading, sustainable features
- + Aspects that should remain
- + Student-centered space
- + Any other relevant elements

Results are shown on the following pages.













Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #2 Summary & Notes

WRAP UP

The group discussed the possibility of touring a recently constructed alternative high school in Woodburn and DAG members submitted papers listing days of the week they were most available. The most popular option was Saturday.

NEXT STEPS

MPG DAG #3, December 12, 6:30-8:30 pm, location to be determined.

Site Visit, Success Alternative High School, Woodburn, December 14 (to be confirmed).

MPG BUILDING AT BENSON CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP SESSION #3

SUMMARY AND NOTES

DECEMBER 12, 2019









Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #3 Summary & Notes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MEETING DETAILS

| Meeting Location | Agenda | |
|---|-------------|---|
| Alliance at Meek School 4039 NE Alberta Ct, Portland, OR 97211 | 6:30 - 6:35 | Arrival & Welcome |
| Attendees PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS (PPS): Jamie Hurd, Project Manager | 6:35 - 6:45 | Project Update + School observations held today + Upcoming school tour on Saturday |
| DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS: Allison Adams | 6:45 - 7:20 | Guiding Principles Follow-Up Activity + Review Guiding Principles and provide feedback + Discuss as a group |
| Breanna Gervais Cathy Reynolds Cheryl James Elli Sussman | 7:20 - 8:20 | Programming and Site Activities + Activity 1: Programming Activity + Activity 2: Site Massing Activity |
| Emily Etzkorn Erlinda Badinas Jeffrey McGee Korinna Wolfe Lisa Veatch Max Whitehouse Nathaniel Edmunds Susan Kaller Susan McLawhorn | 8:20 - 8:30 | Wrap Up + Next steps + Tour transportation logistics |

GENERAL PUBLIC

Stephen Coy Matt Kincaid Catherine M. Volpin

DESIGN TEAM

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects Lydia Burns, Bassetti Architects Debora Ashland, Bassetti Architects Jake Rose, Bassetti Architects

PROJECT UPDATE

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects, provided an update on the MPG project. The design team observed all the schools earlier in the day, visiting with administrators and teachers to better understand the schools and their programs.

Saturday, December 14th, the Design Advisory Group (DAG) is invited to tour Woodburn Success High School to visit a recently-built school that caters to an alternative learning environment.

To further understand the multiple schools, how they operate, and how they might co-exist on one site, 3 activities were scheduled for this meeting. Debora Ashland, Bassetti



GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITY

In response to the list of themes and associated words identified at Design Advisory Group (DAG) Meetings #1 and #2, the Design Team generated a refined, draft list of 'Guiding Principles' specific to the MPG Building. During the activity, DAG members were divided into three groups to review, edit and add to the statements, in order to capture the vision and essence guiding each school. The resulting distilled statements will be a living document to guide the project throughout design, providing a tangible benchmark to measure against, as the project design develops.

The groups edited down the original list by discussing the merits of the educational goals, rethinking the items presented, and combining similar items.

DRAFT GUIDING PRINCIPLES PRESENTED AT MEETING:

1. Create a respectful, inclusive community that empowers students

2. Celebrate Diversity: create a new community that is culturally responsive and supports diversity of all ages, race, gender, sexuality, and physical and mental abilities

3. Support the melding of all educational programs into one community which enhances a sense of belonging, provides greater visibility of students, enriches community engagement, decreases fragmentation with greater educational opportunities, and encourages re-connection

4. Promote culturally-sustaining family involvement by providing adequate space for families, and extended families, that fosters culturally sustaining events and services

Cultivate connections of all cultures and community engagement; honor the indigenous land on which the school is built
 Foster wellness and health by providing a safe and secure facility that provides a variety of support: community resources, mental health, nutritional needs, clothing/showers/laundry, child-care, etc.

7. Advance preparation of learners by providing flexibly-sized spaces for many instructional modalities: individualized to large group learning, project based, CTE, and so forth.

8. Encourage curiosity, creativity, and inquiry by providing programs and spaces that leverage relationships and community connections to drive collaboration and play...structured and unstructured.



9. Provide a variety of settings allowing flexible and confidential places, spaces for calmness and excitement, and connection and access to the environment. Incorporate trauma informed design.

10. Create benefits for the environment through sustainable methods in the design and construction of the building and through operations, including user connection with the environment.

11. Create a school that is appealing, warm, and inviting to all, and reflects the school's values

UPDATED DRAFT OF GUIDING PRINCIPLES BASED ON MEETING INPUT:

+ Create a **respectful**, **inclusive COMMUNITY** responsive and adaptable to student needs and student voice – **EMPOWERING students** and instilling a **sense of PRIDE**.

+ Support the **MISSION of the schools.** Uphold and **celebrate the IDENTITY** of each school, **enhancing a SENSE OF BELONGING** and providing greater visibility for students to engage with the wider community through better educational opportunities – encouraging re-connection.

+ Celebrate and support DIVERSITY of all ages, races, genders, sexuality, physical and neurological abilities.

+ **Cultivate durable CONNECTIONS of all CULTURES**. Promote culturally-sustaining family involvement by providing culturally-connected events and services. Honor the indigenous land on which the school is built.

+ Create a campus that is APPEALING, WARM, and INVITING to all (students, staff, volunteers, families, visitors), and reflects the schools' values such as healing growth, justice, and opportunity. Create left brain/right brain experiences to provide non-institutional character respectful of the Northwest.

+ Provide **access and strong CONNECTIONS to the ENVIRONMENT**. Incorporate **SUSTAINABLE ELEMENTS** in the design, construction, and operations of the facility.

+ **FOSTER WELLNESS AND HEALTH** by providing a **SAFE AND SECURE facility** by providing support, including: community resources, mental health, nutritional needs, clothing/showers/laundry, child-care, etc.

+ Encourage CURIOSITY, CREATIVITY, and INQUIRY by providing FLEXIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE and SPACES to drive collaboration and play – structured and unstructured. Include places for calmness, confidentiality, and reflection, as well as social connection and excitement. Provide PURPOSEFUL DESIGN SOLUTIONS.

+ ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY by leveraging existing community relationships and connections. Support new partnerships to enhance LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES.

PROGRAMMING AND SITE ACTIVITIES: ACTIVITY 1 - PROGRAMMING ACTIVITY

The overall group was split into two groups for the programming and site activities. Building upon the list of program-related activities identified by the group during DAG Meeting #2, participants were asked to consider a variety of programming questions, in order for the design team to better understand the required the attributes that would make different activities function most successfully. Following are the responses, color-coded and documented by school:

INDIVIDUAL OR ONE-ON-ONE:

- 1. What activities happen at / near the entry?
 - + DART/C Agency staff, visitor sign in, Student pick-up and drop-off for appointments
 - + Teen P Living room gathering area. Cozy waiting room
 - + All @ Meek Greeting families
 - + All @ Benson Tutoring
- 2. What activities occur outside or need direct access to the outdoors?
 - + DART/C Activity: sensory or emotional breaks
 - + All @ Benson PE 1 to 3 people
- 3. What activities require storage within the space?
 - + All @ Meek Auto spare parts
 - + All @ Benson Food pantry, every classroom
 - + Reconnection Services Clothing closet, Student & family outreach materials

4. What activities can you share space with other schools / programs in the building?


+ All @ Benson - IEP evaluations

5. What activities need adaptable spaces that can change or be reconfigured for collaboration? For example: activities requiring team teaching.

- + DART/C Therapists meetings, SPED assessments6. What activities will you do that might require sinks?
 - + All @ Meek Bathing, hygiene
 - + All @ Benson Culinary, CTE classroom
- 7. What activities require special systems for smoke, fumes, or dust?
 - + All @ Meek Science; fume hood, separate room for chemical storage
- 8. What activities have special needs for electrical power / data?
 - + Reconnection Services 5 Confidential offices
- 9. What activities are the highest priority for natural light?
 - + All @ Meek Counseling

10. What activities require tools for presentation? For example: projection, A/V or LCD screens.

+ All @ Benson – Student group presentations – Digital media video presentation





SMALL GROUP:

- 1. What activities happen at / near the entry?
 - + DART/C Bus drop off, Student pick-up & drop off (from different programs)
- 2. What activities occur outside or need direct access to the outdoors?
 - + DART/C Small group class activities: walks personal space
 1-15 people, PE 10-15 students
 - + Teen P Gardening, Parenting Groups, Family night
 - + All @ Meek Natural Resources CTE Farm to Table
 - + All @ Benson PE 3-13 people
- 3. What activities require storage within the space?
 - DART/C Science & Art supplies, Gym & Sports supplies, textbooks, student work (students don't carry backpacks), cumulative files in office
 - + Teen P Staff meetings
 - + All @ Meek -- Video production & Digital media
 - + All @ Benson Science, PE, Art, CTE: filament, paper rolls, wood, leather for design & fabrication courses

4. What activities can you share space with other schools / programs in the building?

- + DART/C Lunch, Meeting rooms, Gym / PE, IEP or other team meetings 5-10 people, If Art is shared, program specific storage for supplies is needed, Storage for student artwork.
- + All @ Benson PE, Health sciences < 10 people

5. What activities need adaptable spaces that can change or be reconfigured for collaboration? For example: activities requiring team teaching.

- + DART/C Itinerant staff work space 8 people
- + Teen P Offices and Instruction space
- + All @ Benson Classrooms

6. What activities will you do that might require sinks?

- + DART/C Staff lunch room 5-10 people, Art & Science 5 people, Student lunch
- + Teen P Staff meetings, Cooking, Gardening, Parenting classes, Daily operation
- + All @ Meek Art 12 +/- people, Cooking <10 people
- + All @ Benson Science, Art, & Culinary 15 people, Model making 1-12 people
- 7. What activities require special systems for smoke, fumes, or dust?
 - + DART/C Staff break / lunchroom
 - + All @ Meek Cooking, Auto shop & Manufacturing shop (all things shop)
 - + All @ Benson Ceramics 1-12 people, Science 15 people
- 8. What activities have special needs for electrical power / data?
 - + Teen P Nursing, Home instruction, Parenting classes, Staff meetings



- + All @ Meek Auto shop & Manufacturing shop (all things shop) Load bearing floor and power for lifts, High bays, charging stations, lockers for phones
- + All @ Benson Culinary, Kiln, CNC/3D printer 1-12 people, CAD/Rendering 1-12 people

9. What activities are the highest priority for natural light?

- + DART/C Classroom, Break time away for students
- + All @ Meek Art painting & drawing,
- + All @ Benson Classrooms, Common areas

10. What activities require tools for presentation? For example: projection, A/V or LCD screens.

- + Teen P Team meetings
- + All @ Meek All classrooms 15 people, Meetings: staff & community (parents, family), Digital Media instruction
- + All @ Benson All classrooms, Math and Science need more whiteboards than a typical classroom.

Request from Alliance at Meek, Adam Mendola, for a Video-Production and Post-production space. Notes indicate it could be a modular space that accommodates computers and have an open space to shoot in. Ideally it would be a separate space adjacent to spaces for shooting and editing. The production studio would have a control booth, ceiling rigging for moveable curtains and peripheral space for seating. Secure storage is needed for equipment.

CLASS SIZE:

1. What activities happen at / near the entry?

+ DART/C – Student drop-off (buses), Agency & school staff entry 2. What activities occur outside or need direct access to the outdoors?

- + Teen P gardening, Parenting Groups, Family nights
- + All @ Meek PE, Walking, Sewing, Art

3. What activities require storage within the space?

- + DART/C Gym with school sports equipment storage
- + Teen P Classes, CPR, Family nights, Tutoring, Parent group, Post-secondary planning, Curriculum, Bookshelves & storage for 500+ books (Library)
- + All @ Meek Mindfulness, Science locking storage. Need a lot 15 people, Media center, Library


4. What activities can you share space with other schools / programs in the building?

- + DART/C Staff lunchroom 10 people
- + All @ Meek Mindfulness 15 people (need room for yoga mats), PE / Health activities 10-20 people, Robotics practice Need large space Student and mentors attend, best on carpet 10-20 people

5. What activities need adaptable spaces that can change or be reconfigured for collaboration? For example: activities requiring team teaching.

- + Teen P Curriculum instruction 15 people, Staff meetings, Program Groups for parenting
- + All @ Benson Staff Meetings 20 people
- 6. What activities will you do that might require sinks?
 - + All @ Meek Science 15 people
- 7. What activities require special systems for smoke, fumes, or dust?
 - + All @ Benson Science gas
- 8. What activities have special needs for electrical power / data?
 - + All @ Benson Science possible high electrical loads; Video production, studio, booth, high ceilings and storage
- 9. What activities are the highest priority for natural light?

+ All @ Benson – Library, reading literacy instruction 10. What activities require tools for presentation? For example: projection, A/V or LCD screens. - none





BASSETTI ARCHITECTS DECEMBER 12. 2019

LARGE GROUP:

- - - -

1. What activities happen at / near the entry?

- + DART/C School staff housed off-site and don't have keys. Need entry once a week
- + Teen P Guests sign-in in an area. 3 times a year have
 Family nights. Program events: "Village Up," "Holiday Party,"
 "Graduation Party"
- 2. What activities occur outside or need direct access to the outdoors?
- 3. What activities require storage within the space?-
 - + Teen P Classes, Tutoring, Post-secondary planning, CPR classes, Parenting Groups, Family nights

4. What activities can you share space with other schools / programs in the building?

- + DART/C All staff meeting 50 people
- + All @ Meek MORP (Prom) > 50 people
- + All@ Benson Orientation, Project Collaboration (design/build)
 30 people +/-, DISCO 'Discovery Cohort Dedicated Space' 15-20 people

5. What activities need adaptable spaces that can change or be reconfigured for collaboration? For example: activities requiring team teaching.

- + All @ Meek Science 3+ subjects and projects in one room at the same time
- 6. What activities will you do that might require sinks?
 - + All @ Meek Eating

- - - -

- 7. What activities require special systems for smoke, fumes, or dust?
 - + All @ Meek PE Need ventilation
- 8. What activities have special needs for electrical power / data?
 - + All @ Benson Cinema (60 +/-), Performance 150 +/-
- 9. What activities are the highest priority for natural light?

10. What activities require tools for presentation? For example: projection, A/V or LCD screens.


- + DART/C Staff meetings >50
- + All @ Meek Assemblies, film viewing




PROGRAMMING AND SITE ACTIVITIES: ACTIVITY 1 - PROGRAMMING ACTIVITY

The MPG Building will consolidate a number of schools onto one shared campus. In order for the design team to understand how each school operates on a daily basis, the group was asked to complete an activity schedule based on their current operation. The resulting compiled schedule is documented on the following pages.

The complied schedule illustrates how the overall combined campus might operate daily, based on current operations. This exercise helps identify what activities could potentially take advantage of shared space between the schools.







| | | | | | | Fric | day ≞ | | | | | | l |
|----|--------------------|-------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|---------|---|
| | | | | - | | | Office Staff Arrive | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Drop | o-off € | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Community | mtg. (20-30 | | | | | | |
| | | | Students in Class | | | s in Class | | | | | | | |
| | | | Stude | | | Students in Class | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Student Lunch | | rs) | | ses | | | |
| | | | | Class | Friday Focus - Tutoring and Support | Stude | Studen | Daycare (24+ teachers) | | Staff working in offices | | | |
| | | Irs | Student | Staff in Class | Tutoring ar | SS | Staff in Class | | (| Staff work | | ırs | |
| | Staff Office Hours | - St | - - - | / Focus - | Students in Class | | | fter schoo | | | Staff Office Hours | | |
| | | Staff | | | Frida | Stude | | | II day and | | | Staff | |
| | | | SS | | | ass) | | | Individual tutoring (all day and after school) | | | | |
| | | | Students in Class | | | Gym Class (2-15) | | | Individual | | | | |
| | | | Stud | | | Pick | | | ļ | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | E C | | | | | ł | | | | |
| | | | Robotics | james 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Rol | League | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | Basketball League Games 4-8 pm | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Robotics Load-Out | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Robotics | | | | | | | | | | |
| لم | | | | <u> i </u> | I I | | | | | | as of 12 | 2-12-19 | ŀ |

| 7:00 AI 8:00 AI 9:00 AI 10:00 A 11:00 A 11:00 A 11:00 A 12:00 PI 2:00 PI 3:00 PI 4:00 PI 5:00 PI | A A A V V V Alternative Basketball League Games Alternative Basketball League Games Alternative Basketball League Games Alternative Basketball League Games Alternative Basketball League Games | Students | Saturday | Students | Students | | Sunday | | | Bi-wee | kly | Ail School Assembly - Time? | PBL Exhibitions in Gym - Time? | All DART Meeting (50 people) | aadersmp Meetung (e-1b people) | | Care Client Coordination Meetings CONFIDENTIAL (Monday-Friday, 9-7pm) | Community/Family Engagement Celebration - three times/year (tables and room for 20) Early Headstart Meetings - twice/year (room for 30) Child & Infant CPR training - twice/year (room for 20) | |
|---|---|----------|----------|-------------|--------------|--|--------|--|---------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|--|----------------|
| | м | | | | | | | | t 5.9 pm | (mq 6 .8) s | | | | | | | U | | |
| After Schoo | Hopotics Loaddin | Benson | DART/C | Teen Parent | Reconnection | | | | Sibling Di Movie Night | Sibling Dinner | | Dances 6-10 pm | Theatre Performances Poetry Slams 6-9 pm | | | | | | as of 12-12-19 |

PROGRAMMING AND SITE ACTIVITIES: ACTIVITY 2 - SITE MASSING ACTIVITY

Building upon the site analysis activity completed in DAG Meeting #2, each of the two groups participated in a Site Massing & Adjacency exercise. Using scaled, colored blocks representing a preliminary allotment of square footage for each school, the group arranged the blocks on a scaled model of the site, to explore:

- + Relationships between the different schools
- + Potential entry locations for pedestrians, vehicles and visitors
- + Incorporation of / response to site features
- + Location of program elements in relation to the ground level
- + Ideal location for shared program elements identified thus far

The resulting schemes will help the design team understand priorities and potential site opportunities, informing further site massing development options that will be discussed at future DAG meetings.


GROUP #1 / ALTERNATIVE A:

This group arranged the blocks to take advantage of:

- + Easy bus pick-up/drop off for DART/Clinton st the northwest corner of the site
- + Main entry to the school off NE Glisan St
- + Loading and Auto Shop access off of NE 16th Ave near the northeast corner of the site
- + Central courtyard near entry, accessible to all schools
- + Central gym/commons spaces accessible to all schools
- + Library space near entrance/courtyard
- + Teen Parent Services located at the southwest corner of the site far from DART/Clinton, proximity to existing park and playground
- + Building pulled back from northeast and southeast corners of site to avoid trees
- + Rooftop garden above gym accessed from third floor Alliance at Meek classroom space
- + Parking garage entry at southeast corner of site
- + Separate entrance for Reconnection Services at southwest corner







BASSETTI ARCHITECTS DECEMBER 12. 2019

GROUP #2 / ALTERNATIVE B:

This group came up with an arrangement remarkably similar to that of the first group. They arranged the blocks to take advantage of:

- + Easy bus pick-up/drop off for DART/Clinton st the northwest corner of the site
- + Main entry to the school with entry plaza off NE Glisan St
- + Loading and Auto Shop access off of NE 16th Ave near the northeast corner of the site
- + Central gym/commons spaces accessible to all schools
- + Library space on second floor bridge between DART/ Clinton and alliance
- + Teen Parent Services located at the southern edge of the site on the first floor. Far from DART/Clinton, proximity to existing park and playground
- + Secondary entrances for Teen Parent Services and Reconnection Services at southern edge of the site
- + Building pulled back from northeast and southeast corners of site to avoid trees
- + Rooftop garden above DART/Clinton classrooms secluded retreat for DART students
- + Rooftop garden on second floor for Alliance outdoor learning
- + Parking garage entry at southeast corner of site
- + Reconnection and Teen Parent Services on first level of southern edge of site classroom spaces above







BASSETTI ARCHITECTS DECEMBER 12. 2019



Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #3 Summary & Notes

WRAP UP

Based on the information gathered at the meeting, the Design Team will work on initial site massing studies for review at the next DAG. At the next DAG, we will get into the next layer of detail that will help establish ideal programmatic relationships and adjacencies for the learning communities that will be a part of each school within the overall campus.

NEXT STEPS

Site Visit, Success Alternative High School, Woodburn, December 14, 2019. Those riding the bus to meet at the 12th Ave Entry of Benson HS at 8:30am.

MPG DAG #4, January 9, 2020. There was interest in having the DAG meetings earlier in the evening. Proposed time 5:00-7:00 pm at Grant High School, with an option to tour the all-user restroom facilities before or after the DAG. Jamie Hurd will update the DAG once the meeting times and location set.

MPG BUILDING AT BENSON CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP SESSION #4 SUMMARY AND NOTES JANUARY 9, 2020





Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #4 Summary & Notes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MEETING DETAILS

| Meeting Location | Agenda | |
|--|--------------------|---|
| Grant High School 2245 NE 36th Ave, Portland, OR 97212 | <u>4:00 - 5:00</u> | Pre-meeting tour of Grant High School |
| Attendees | 5:00 | Arrival & Welcome |
| PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS (PPS) : Brian Oylear, Project Director Jamie Hurd, Project Manager Julia Brim-Edwards, School Board Rep. | 5:00 - 5:10 | School Tours Feedback + Woodburn Success High School Observations + Grant High School Observations |
| DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS: Allison Adams Cathy Reynolds Cheryl James | 5:10 - 5:15 | Guiding Principles/Schedule Updated Version + Boards of current Guiding Principles shared and available for comment |
| Donee Deschler Elli Sussman Elise Higgins Erlinda Badinas Jeffrey McGee Korinna Wolfe | 5:15 - 6:00 | Site Layout & Adjacencies Follow-up Activity + Presentation of site and building adjacency schemes + Review and provide feedback + Discuss as a group |
| Lisa Veatch Lorna Fast Buffalo Horse Max Whitehouse Nathaniel Edmunds | 6:00 - 6:55 | Learning Community Adjacency Activity + Precedent images and learning community examples + Tour transportation logistics |
| Susan Kaller Susan McLawhorn | 6:55 - 7:00 | Wrap Up |
| DESIGN TEAM | <u>7:00 - 8:00</u> | Post-meeting tour of Grant High School |

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects Lydia Burns, Bassetti Architects Debora Ashland, Bassetti Architects Jake Rose, Bassetti Architects

ARRIVAL AND WELCOME

To begin the meeting, Joe Echeverri of Basseti Architects, welcomed DAG members and thanked them for coming to the fourth Design Advisory Group meeting for the Multiple Pathways to Graduation project, reflecting on the work that had been done thus far and the team's excitement to share new developments.

SCHOOLTOURS FEEDBACK

Next, Joe asked DAG members to share feedback from recent tours that the group had taken of both Woodburn Success High School and Grant High School (location of the meeting). Some of their thoughts are recorded below:

WOODBURN SUCCESS HIGH SCHOOL

+ helpful to see a smaller-scale school to get a sense for the size of a learning committee at the new MPG building
+ liked whiteboard-faced cabinets with storage behind
+ positive comments on the breakout space in classrooms
+ liked open commons space - ability for school-wide meetings, student body can all be together

GRANT HIGH SCHOOL

+ bathrooms much more inclusive

+ shared classroom concept seems better than anticipated + finishes seem very institutional, corporate, and cold particularly in the counseling center. May not be the right fit for MPG population.







GUIDING PRINCIPLES UPDATE

Debora Ashland of Bassetti presented an updated version of the project's Guiding Principles along with a compiled school schedule based on information received from DAG members at the last meeting. In the interest of time, discussion was kept to a minimum but comments/notes were encouraged to be made at any point during the meeting, or to be sent to Jamie Hurd, Project Manager for PPS. She emphasized that the Guiding Principles are a working document and will be available for adaptation moving forward. The updated Guiding Principles for the project are as follows:

+ Create a respectful, inclusive COMMUNITY responsive and adaptable to student needs and student voice -

EMPOWERING students and instilling a sense of PRIDE.

+ Support the **MISSION of the schools.** Uphold and **celebrate the IDENTITY** of each school, **enhancing a SENSE OF BELONGING** and providing greater visibility for students to engage with the wider community through better educational opportunities – encouraging re-connection.

+ Celebrate and support DIVERSITY of all ages, races, genders, sexuality, physical and neurological abilities.

+ **Cultivate durable CONNECTIONS of all CULTURES**. Promote culturally-sustaining family involvement by providing culturally-connected events and services. Honor the indigenous land on which the school is built.

+ Create a campus that is APPEALING, WARM, and INVITING to all (students, staff, volunteers, families, visitors), and reflects the schools' values such as healing growth, justice, and opportunity. Create left brain/right brain experiences to provide non-institutional character respectful of the Northwest.

+ Provide **access and strong CONNECTIONS to the ENVIRONMENT**. Incorporate **SUSTAINABLE ELEMENTS** in the design, construction, and operations of the facility.

+ FOSTER WELLNESS AND HEALTH by providing a SAFE AND SECURE facility influenced by TRAUMA-INFORMED best practices. Provide supports including: community resources, mental health, nutritional needs, clothing/showers/ laundry, child-care, etc.


+ Encourage CURIOSITY, CREATIVITY, and INQUIRY by providing FLEXIBLE INFRASTRUCTURE and SPACES to drive collaboration and play – structured and unstructured. Include places for calmness, confidentiality, and reflection, as well as social connection and excitement. Provide PURPOSEFUL DESIGN SOLUTIONS.

+ ENGAGE THE COMMUNITY by leveraging existing community relationships and connections. Support new partnerships to enhance LEARNING OPPORTUNITIES.

SITE LAYOUT AND ADJACENCIES FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITY


As a follow-up to the site massing and adjacency activity that DAG members participated in at the previous meeting, Joe Echeverri presented four building schemes or concepts. These schemes take into account their ideas about how the building could be arranged on the site and how different building functions could be distributed. Functionality and square footage are represented in the schemes. Color coded massing shows various "zones" - orange for learning spaces within Alliance at Meek, yellow for learning spaces for Alliance at Benson, light green for communal spaces (both indoor and outdoor), a darker green for DART/Clinton's learning spaces, teal for Teen Parent Services, and blue for Reconnection Services.

Joe first presented the schemes digitally to the whole group with a brief explanation of the thinking behind each one. Afterwards, DAG members were split into two groups and each group was given a physical copy of each scheme. With the assistance of Bassetti staff they were encouraged to mark up the boards with their comments using " Δ " for things that they would change and "+" for things that they liked. Additional comments were encouraged.




CONCEPT #1: This concept is closest to how DAG members arranged the building during the activity at the last meeting. It takes advantage of north/south light with its form and provides several nodes of "rightsized" learning communities. A loading zone off of 15th Ave provides access to kitchen and CTE spaces, and a long narrow commons adjacent to the gym acts as the central spine of the building. The following comments were provided

- (+) distributed learning communities
- (+) all CTE on ground floor
- (+) large roof deck
- (+) tons of surface area (windows)
- (+) placement of DART/Clinton office at entry
- (+) roof garden
- (+) value to multiple levels per program students would be able to self-select to a different floor if needed
- (Δ) can gym be rotated?
- (Δ) DART may be hard to access
- (Δ) don't make commons wide open, need "nooks"
- (Δ) linear commons
- (Δ) concerned about roof deck safety
- (Δ) many stair wells may create too many places to hide




CONCEPT #2: This concept creates larger more condensed blocks of learning communities. It features a large room-like commons and takes on a more simple, boxy form. Comments from DAG members were:

- (+) more flexible/usable commons
- (+) CTE all on ground floor
- (+) more rectangular commons seems more "community"
- (+) probably fewer stairwells/places for students to hide from teachers
- (Δ) kitchen proximity to Alliance culinary and loading (Δ) no roof deck
- (Δ) need alternate entry for DART/Clinton difficult on third floor
- (Δ) DART/Clinton far from drop-off, would have to enter through main entrance.



CONCEPT #3: This concept takes advantage of north/south light with an east/west building orientation. It includes a gym sunken to the level of the adjacent field and a two-story parking structure at the south end of the site. Comments from DAG members are:

- (+) fitness and showers disconnected from classes
- (+) adjacency of Reconnection and Alliance (with Reconnection Center between them
- (+) Reconnection near parking at grade
- (+) commons near main entrance is inviting
- (+) north/south light
- (+) DART would have easy access to the commons
- (Δ) a little prison-y
- (Δ) kitchen proximity to Alliance culinary and loading
- (Δ) DART near too much traffic, no visibility
- (Δ) want spaces that are cozy for smaller groups
- (Δ) commons overlooking gym could be problematic (bullying,
- students anxious about who is watching, etc.)
- (Δ) connection to field is good but unsure if students will be able to use it. BPHS students generally have priority
- (Δ) access/proximity to maker space/CTE



CONCEPT #4: This concept was largely driven by the parking arrangement. A large lower level of parking could be dedicated to Benson Polytechnic High School users with access at the northwest corner of the site closest to Benson. A small surface lot at the other end of the site would meet MPG parking needs. Comments from DAG members are recorded below:

- (+) separate parking lots
- (+) like massing/cascading effect of roof
- (+) intrigued by how students would relate to outdoors
- (+) like smaller roof gardens
- (+) DART close to green roof
- (+) separation DART and Alliance have their own floors

(Δ) switch roof deck and DART/Clinton
(Δ) put DART/Clinton close to their own smaller roof deck
(Δ) need a full gym with bleachers on both sides (seating 400-500)
(Δ) parking is far from Reconnection
(Δ) flip CTE and Reconnection?
(Δ) move gym to 2nd floor?
(Δ) Auto and Manufacturing should both be on first floor

GROUP DISCUSSION


DAG members came back together to discuss the schemes as a group.

The group from DART/Clinton stated that Concept #1 was their preferred scheme due to the access to the outdoors and being able to enter/stay in their own space. They did not, however, like the long narrow commons, they preferred a more room-like commons near the entrance similar to Concept #3. They emphasized a need for their students to have access to building-wide resources such as the commons and outdoor space but mentioned their students would be uncomfortable traveling far into the school to get to their classrooms.

Alliance at Benson DAG members were most excited about Concept #1, though they weren't thrilled about a long narrow commons. They mentioned that Alliance at Meek and Alliance at Benson will essentially be merged and could be represented with a single color in future diagramming.

The group from Alliance at Meek agreed that the first scheme was most successful, though they prefer the parking arrangement of Concept #4. They liked the plentiful exterior access, outdoor spaces, and having all CTE on the ground level.

Reconnection Services DAG members emphasized their need for direct access to entry and parking for families who will be visiting the building for the first time and may otherwise get lost.



ACADEMY

INTEGRATED

PROJECT-BASED

LEARNING COMMUNITY ADJACENCY ACTIVITY

Joe Echeverri introduced the next activity by displaying various examples of learning community configurations, some of which are shown here. He explained that there are many different strategies to form and arrange learning spaces in ways that may be more effective than the traditional classroom/hallway configuration that many people are used to. This exercise involves people forming learning communities based on needs, rather than assuming individual classrooms as the norm.

DAG members broke into their respective school groups. Each group was given a kit of colored circles - each circle corresponding to the size of learning spaces based on the activities identified in DAG #2. On the back of each circle was labeled the approximate number of people that would comfortably fit in the space. They were color coded by size, ranging from individual spaces to large group spaces (25+).

The groups were asked to arrange and label the circles in order to form their ideal "learning community." Indications were made as to which spaces had connections to other spaces and what kind of connection. They had free reign to arrange, label, and draw as they saw fit in order to create their preferred teaching environments.



DART/CLINTON: DAG members from DART/Clinton created a learning community arranged around their own central commons space where they would be able to have their daily all-school meetings, host occasional all-staff DART meetings, and have a space for their students to relax and eat lunch. Four classrooms (one science lab) are arranged around the commons, each one containing a private break-out room for students needing one-on-one instruction. They expressed the desire to have a way out of the classroom that would lead to a private corridor that could be utilized by students who may be having behavioral issues rather than the public entry/exit. Another space off the commons is a small art studio/library that would be utilized by students needing a break from the classroom. Single-user gender neutral bathrooms are close by for student use. A group of offices for counselors, specialists, and itinerant staff is located near classrooms for easy access to students. Separate Clinton and DART admin offices with a shared conference room are located nearby but not necessarily directly connected to the learning community. They discussed the possibility of having the DART office on a different floor from the rest of the learning community but preferred that it all be on the same level.






RECONNECTION SERVICES: The Reconnection Services DAG members arranged their spaces to include private offices, conference spaces, and shared offices for itinerant staff directly off a public entrance. These offices have a close connection with an adjacent "Reconnection Center" which is used as a place for students who are between enrollment periods to receive instruction and to keep them engaged. The center is comprised of one learning space with smaller break-out spaces directly accessible. Support offices for a counselor, social worker, and special ed need to be directly accessible to Reconnection Center, but also accessible to Alliance students.



ALLIANCE AT BENSON: DAG members from Alliance at Benson and Alliance at Meek worked together but created separate diagrams. The group from Alliance at Benson focused on learning spaces with lots of individual work spaces where a student could work independently and without distraction at the periphery of the learning community. This would facilitate the independent learning focus and allow teachers to travel from student to student and monitor other students who are focused on their work. They included various collaboration spaces throughout, other areas for group instruction, and designated spaces for "lab-type" work such as culinary, science, art, and digital design spaces. They included a large performance space for students to showcase their work.

Another option laid out a maker space for technology showing four classroom sized spaces (shown as two circles above) directly adjacent to the larger lab. These classrooms would have operable partitions to allow for collaboration by opening into each other. Alliance at Benson DAG members stated that these collaboration-ready classrooms would work best in groups of two while Alliance at Meek members prefered to have three adjacent classrooms able to open to each other. A teacher space is identified and smaller group spaces could be available to all who use the space.



ALLIANCE AT MEEK: Alliance at Meek worked on larger learning communities with labs, maker spaces, and social areas. Each included smaller break-out spaces for more small group learning and socializing. Language Arts learning would occur in a medium size space with nooks and adjacent small group rooms. The Culinary lab would include 3 small group rooms. The Commons/Student Lounge includes more private "enclaves" that would open to the larger social space. The Chemistry lab includes 7 stations with access to gas, sink, and electricity and includes a larger work instruction space for group teaching. A chemistry specific storage space is shown with direct access to the learning space. A maker-space/art room was identified with 4 individual work areas with direct access to a smaller classroom for math.



Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #4 Summary & Notes

WRAP UP

Based on the information gathered at the meeting, the Design Team will work to develop various learning community arrangement options. Additionally, the design team will advance further improved and more detailed site massing schemes for review at the next DAG, after which they will be submitting the masterplan to the District for review and approval through the Bond Subcommittee.

NEXT STEPS

MPG DAG #5, January 29, 2020 5:00-7:00 pm at Benson Polytechnic High School

MPG BUILDING AT BENSON CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP SESSION #5 SUMMARY AND NOTES JANUARY 29, 2020





Portland Pubic Schools MPG Building at Benson Campus DAG #5 Summary & Notes

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MEETING DETAILS

| Meeting Location | Agenda | |
|---|-------------|---|
| Grant High School 2245 NE 36th Ave, Portland, OR 97212 | 5:00 | Arrival & Welcome |
| Attendees PORTLAND PUBLIC SCHOOLS (PPS): | 5:00 – 5:10 | Project Update: + Steering Committee/Board Process + Trauma Informed Design |
| Brian Oylear, Project Director Jamie Hurd, Project Manager Julia Brim-Edwards, School Board Rep. | 5:10 – 5:40 | Building Layout & Adjacencies Follow-Up Activity+ Presentation of updated site and building adjacency schemes |
| DESIGN ADVISORY GROUP MEMBERS: Allison Adams Breanna Gervais | | + Review and provide feedback+ Discuss as a group |
| Cathy Reynolds Donee Deschler Elli Sussman Elise Higgins Emily Etzkorn Jackie Santalulia | 5:40 – 6:15 | Program Review & Efficiency Brainstorm + Presentation of draft program list + Review and provide feedback + Discuss as a group |
| Erlinda Badinas Jeffrey McGee Korinna Wolfe Lisa Veatch Lorna Fast Buffalo Horse Mark Bond | 6:15 – 6:55 | Learning Community Activity Present learning environment options Break into schools and review options. Discuss as a group |
| Max Whitehouse Miguel Mejia Nathaniel Edmunds Susan Kaller Susan McLawhorn | 6:55 – 7:00 | Wrap Up & Next Steps – + Next Steps + Next DAG meeting |

DESIGN TEAM

Ursula Loretde

Joe Echeverri, Bassetti Architects Lydia Burns, Bassetti Architects Debora Ashland, Bassetti Architects Jake Rose, Bassetti Architects

ARRIVAL AND WELCOME

Jamie Hurd started the meeting off by welcoming all and providing an update on the School Board process for the project. The culmination of the DAG involvement and the Master Planning process will be going to the Bond Sub-Committee soon for approval. Next, Joe Echeverri, of Bassetti Architects, provided an overview of the meeting agenda.

TRAUMA INFORMED DESIGN

Debora Ashland, Bassetti Architects provded an overview of what the design team has been learning about Traumna Informed Design. A hand-out was provided of some of the key elements and the references used to date.

Building Features:

- + Consistency, Predictability
- + Welcoming
- + Soft places
- Open rooms (lites in doors or windows between rooms). Easy to scan /view their space.
- + Open, clear sight-lines with few barriers. No dead ends
- + Simple and easy to navigate
- + Adequate space to circulate to avoid accidental touching or interfering with personal space
- + Places for confidentiality
- + Good acoustics and acoustic separation
- + Provide quiet spaces
- + Uncluttered. Clean, durable, and easy to clean

Safe Place:

- + Consistency, Predictability
- + Safe spaces with comfortable surrounding and chairs
- + Allow parents to see their children and vice versa while at the building
- + Restrooms with locks
- + Feel safe and supported
- + No dead ends

Biophilia (Connection to the environment):

- + Connection to the environment: visible landscape, access to outside
- + Lighting: Provide daylight
- + Provide good quality lighting
- + Art (preferably landscape or organic colors)
- + Personal Control / Choice

- + Emphasize personal space: choices for seating types, locations, quiet, and group areas
- + Provide Task lighting that allows control over their environment
- + Allow for choice: different environments to learn
- + Orient seating so it is facing out from walls and to increase socialization
- + Allow for Music

Finishes / Materials:

- + Use Natural materials
- + Calming colors: blue, green, purple.
- + Culturally respectful finishes, colors, and patterns

General & Operational:

- + Minimize triggers associated with Trauma informed design Predictable schedules and routines
- + Respectful of non-English speakers and communication needs: hearing impairment, limited literacy
- + Food, warmth, shelter, water
- + Keep spaces clean
- + Fragrance-Free environment

Universal Design: Seven principles of universal design to guide the design of environments and products (The 7 Principles, 1997).:

- 1. Equitable Use
- 2. Flexibility in Use
- 3. Simple and Intuitive Use
- 4. Perceptible Information
- 5. Tolerance for Error
- 6. Low Physical Effort
- 7. Size and Space for Approach and Use

RESOURCES AT END OF THESE NOTES

BUILDING LAYOUT AND ADJACENCIES FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITY

New building schemes were prepared based on feedback from the DAG #4. Options 1 and 4 had received the most positive feedback, so the options presented at this meeting were largely based on those schemes. All included a central spine of circulation running north and south. CTE programs and Teen Parent Services Daycare are located on the ground floor. A variety of outdoor spaces were provided in each option, some larger, and some smaller. Names for each scheme were based on the configuration of their outdoor space, as follows:

Option #5: "PORCHES" Option #6: "COURTYARDS" Option #7: "CASCADE"

DAG members were asked to comment on the updated options using " Δ " for things that they would change and "+" for things that they liked. Additional comments were encouraged.

CONCEPT #5: "PORCHES"

This scenario includes a separate parking area to the south and east of the facility for MPG parking, drop-off and loading for the CTE and kitchen. A separate entry to the lower parking area is provided from the north. The gym and commons are located on the ground floor but separated by a corridor. DART/Clinton is located on the second floor to the north and Alliance programs occupy the second and third floors in larger blocks of spaces. Only one outdoor roof garden is shown on the northwest corner of the third floor. This scheme imagines exterior "porches" cut out of the learning community blocks, hence its name.

Positive comments (+):

- + Teen Parent loves this option
- + Like the parking turn around
- + Reconnection at 1st & 2nd floor is ok if entry near parking

Questions:

- + Where is Library space?
- + Can the top of the Gym roof be a garden/roof deck?



CONCEPT #6: "COURTYARDS"

This scenario includes all parking at the lower level with access from the north off Glisan St. The gym and commons are adjacent to one another on the ground floor. CTE is located in the southern portion of the building with vehicle access off of NE Flanders Street. Teen Parent Service is located in the NE corner of the site. DART/Clinton lands on the second floor in the northeast corner adjacent to a shared roof deck. A U-shaped configuration around a roof deck support two Alliance learning communities. The third floor holds the balance of the Alliance program and a large shared roof deck area.

Positive comments (+):

- + Like outside spaces
- + Landscaping valuable in an area under development
- + Love cut-outs for courtyards

Negative comments (Δ):

- + No off-site Daycare drop-off
- + No short term or MPG parking
- + Teen Parent needs separate entrance

Questions:

+ West side courtyard - Will anything grow here?











CONCEPT #7: "CASCADE"

The "Cascade" scheme separates parking into two distinct areas (similar to "Porches"). The larger below grade lot is accessible from Glisan St, and a smaller lot to the south provides direct access to Teen Parent Services and Reconnection Services. Bus drop off is on Glisan St. The gym is on the first level, separate from the commons which is on the second floor.

CTE is located in the northeastern corner of the building with vehicle access off 16th. Teen Parent Services daycare is located on the south of the site with the outdoor play west of the parking. DART/Clinton is located on the second floor in the northeast corner. A shared maker space is central to the second floor. Alliance is located on both the second and third floor with a large shared roof deck area.

Positive comments (+):

- + Multiple roof decks have practical and cultural value (student ownership of space & authenticity
- + Appealing building shape
- + Like separate MPG parking

Negative comments (Δ):

- + North stairwell seems busy and will disrupt DART
- + Teen Parent Daycare too close to parking fumes

Questions:

- + Where is library space?
- + Is there daycare drop-off? Yes with short term parking in MPG lot
- + Can some of the Day-Care outdoor space be covered?
- + Can the top of the Gym roof be a garden/roof deck?
- + Can the third floor roof deck have some coverage?

Group Discussion:


DAG members came back together to discuss the schemes as a group. DAG members representing Teen Parent Services preferred the "Porches" scheme, noting that is seemed to be the best for the outdoor play area and parking access. Many expressed appreciation for the outdoor areas and preferred they be on every floor throughout the building in lieu of one large shared outdoor space on the third floor. The separate parking area for MPG was favored by all.

DAG members emphasized that the natural resources lab will need close access to outdoor space for student projects. They also expressed concern for leakage of roof decks, noting that the building should be as low-maintenance as possible. Another potential issue with roof deck spaces is the treatment of railings and ensuring student safety.

PROGRAM REVIEW & EFFICIENCY BRAINSTORM

For the next activity, Debora and Jamie provided an overview. A list of spaces had been prepared based on interviews with staff, administrators, and school observations. This list included every need that the design team had heard to date. The goal was to find common areas and opportunity for shared spaces. The overall size of the school was over the budgeted amount so this activity was envisioned as an opportunity to get DAG members to think creatively about how to be more efficient.

Each school and program was provided a list with frequency of use of the spaces and what might be able to be shared or quantities reduced. The teams provided notes on the lists provided. This was a difficult exercise for many because this is the first time the schools are coming together and the programs and schedules are not fully developed. Helpful information was provided by all and the program has since been updated with this input.



RECONNECTION SERVICES/RECONNECTION CENTER

| MACON RESIDENCE | Reconnection Services & Cen | ter | | |
|----------------------------|--|------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | Reconnection Services | | | |
| | Reception / Waiting 6 people | 1 | JUNITUS WELCONIDO, BEAUTIPUL | |
| Reconnection | Secretary | 1 | We don't have a scoretary verify | Ability to open & be visible to reception when ofc occupied. |
| Reconnection | Office V.P. | 1 | | |
| | Office ? | 1 | Not sure who would use | |
| Reconnection | Open Office for 9 Staff - Split into offices | V3 | 7 outreach Coordinators + Data Ano | wet |
| | Conference Room for 10 people A3% (12' x 16') | 1 | Sound proof divider | Divisible into 2 smaller conf rms. |
| Reconnection / | Conference Room for 15+ people (15' x 25') | 1 | | Shared with Alliance & Reconnection Center |
| / | Reconnection Center - Shared with Allia | ance | | |
| Beconnection Ctr | Social Work Office | 1 | | Share with Alliance |
| Reconnection | Counselor office | 1 | | Share with Alliance |
| Reconnection Ctr | Special Ed Teachers | 1 | 1 teacher | Moved 1Spec Ed from Alliance to Reconnection Ctr |
| Reconnection / Alliance | R.C. So would | 1 | | With 2 "nook" areas in CR |
| Reconnection Ctr | Small group rooms | 2 | | Adjacent to Classroom |

TEEN PARENT SERVICES

| and shake | Teen Parent Childcare | 10.1 | Contraction of the second | and the second second | States and the second second | |
|---------------|--|------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Teen Parent - MPG | | | | | |
| | Department Office | _ | | | | |
| Teen Parent | Director office | 1 | | Cheryl | ammont | |
| Teen Parent | Reception/admin | 1 | | Latona | Dolias | |
| Teen Parent | Counselor office | 1 | | 2 counselors | Norelle | Could be shared with other programs, there one day a week |
| Teen Parent | (12' x 16') | 1 | | | | Could be shared with other programs |
| Teen Parent (| Storage hand with barbard | 1 | could shaved | Yes Diapers C Mome Instr | PR Classian Sipplies | |
| Teen Parent | Shared office for itinerant staff SOUCE FOY 2 OV 3 to | 1 | rk | Mome Instr | uction agent | |

ALLIANCE SCHOOL

General Academics Classrooms even if shared room adstanding 1 period plan 2/5 periods to not discovery 2010 8 ALL DAY 44 **Discovery Room** ABC Classrooms 10 See Rec generally Sama ALL DAY for 1 Classroom additional Science Lab ed two science to C Same time, both chemistry-capab Adjacency to Culinary room cience labs running ABC Science Lab Physics / FRC Natural Resources Lab -capab eld be in a science lab, or standard class no m arstonge, no use by stude ats/classes could be Chemical storage Adjacent to Science Lab. Direct 1 Loob Prep access to lab preferred Breakout Spaces / Flex Alliance 7 CTE Shops/Special Studies Auto Shop Specialty space - High ceiling. 4 bays. Have 3 above ground lifts now. Can they be re-located? Includes Engine room. Outdoor space for Auto parking (4 cars) and access to street. Share outdoor space with Manufacturing Manufacturing Shop 1 Specialty space - High ceiling. Need separate storage room. Want CR space in shop or adjacent to shop. Part of S.F. listed. Share outdoor space with Auto Alliance Design/Applied Arts can use artroom some days 1 Can this be combined with Meek Digital Computer Lab? Prefer North light Alliance Digital Computer Lab 1 With recording booth Coinary Arts Alliance 1 Ability to open to Science room. Prefer to be adjacent to Commons Classrooms sf Target 15% reduction? Reduce by 1? - Yes Classrooms 8 LA - 4 10-1 MA-3 Span-1 55-3 Meek **Discovery Room** 1 An-Health-Total ABC Reduce by 1? - Hes Sú See Reconnection Center below Classrooms 10 for 1 Classroom additional Discog 7 Alliance Breakout Spaces / Flex Reduce quantity?

DART/CLINTON SCHOOL

| | DART/Clinton School | | | |
|---------|---|---|--|--|
| | DART | | | |
| DART | DART Office for the private office | 1 | Can this be shared with Clinton? NO, but Admining officers could be connected to DART Uffice | Reception / Waiting, Secretary, File storage 2 se certari. |
| DART | Administrator Office | 1 | | |
| DART | Itinerants Office | 1 | adjacent? | Desks for: SLP & OT, Instructional Specialist, SPED TOSA, Psychologist, Courselo |
| DART | Conference Room for 4-6 people (12' x 12') | X | adju | |
| DART | Staff Restroom | 1 | | |
| | Clinton School | | | |
| Clinton | Reception / Waiting | 1 | Can this be shared with DART? Not needed - a grad geology | |
| Clinton | Secretary | 1 | Can this be shared with DART? Not needed | Ability to open & be visible to reception when ofc occupied. |
| Clinton | Clinton Office | 1 | 1-2 adults Supporting students Delose to classrooms/acce. | 5. 61.0 |
| Clinton | Staff Workroom & Lounge | 1 | -> close to class rooms /acce. La small chill-out space adj Clintor office overlooking the | common space |
| Clinton | Staff Restroom | 1 | | |
| Clinton | Conference Room for 10 people (12' × 16') | 1 | | |
| Clinton | Learning Studios | 3 | | With 100 SF breakout room in each |
| Clinton | Science Lab | 1 | | With storage & prep |
| Clinton | Art Studio / Library | 1 | | With storage & prep |
| Clinton | Commons (Flex Space) | 1 | | |
| Clinton | All User Restrooms | 3 | 2 0001 | Similar to Grant locker room public restrooms with open sir |

GYMNASIUMS

Joe Echeverri presented some examples of gymnasiums to show what can be provided with a full size gym and bleachers in a gym designed for a smaller school. The examples included Klahowya Secondary School (Silverdale, WA) and Stewart Middle School Modernization (Tacoma, WA). Both gyms are approximately 8000 square feet, Photos of the two spaces are shown on the next page.



Klahowya Secondary School



Stewart Middle School Modernization

LEARNING COMMUNITY ACTIVITY

Joe Echeverri introduced the next activity by explaining the generic Learning Community options developed by the design team. They varied from individual classrooms around smaller flexible spaces to larger flexible spaces and few classrooms.

Building on the work done at DAG #4, the DAG members were asked to consider the layout of teaching spaces and provide comment for what might work in different teaching environments: team teaching, individual learning, science, maker spaces, and so forth. DAG participants broke into their respective school groups. Each group was given all three options and trace paper to draw their own approach.







DART / CLINTON

DAG members from DART/Clinton reviewed the options and then created a learning community arranged around their own central commons space similar to the circle diagrams prepared in DAG #4. Four classrooms (one a science lab) are arranged around their commons, each one containing a private break-out room for students needing one-onone instruction.

Their commons space was further defined as housing the library, art space, and individual study nooks. The outdoor space would be connected to the common space. Daylight would be provided in all classrooms. A shared lab would be adjacent but also accessible from the main corridor. The entry and offices would be the main entry point to the school so the learning areas would be fully separated from the rest of the building.





One DAG member sketched out an alternate layout showing smaller classrooms with independent study areas in some of them and a separate area with multiple small rooms dedicated to independent study directly adjacent to a smaller. circular open learning area.

Another voice indicated that unsupervised independent study rooms would not be allowed due to lack of visibility/ connection to the adjacent classrooms.

This sketch is shown to the left.

WRAP UP

From here, the design team will incorporate comments received to date and advance the masterplan for presentation to the School Improvement Bond Sub-Committee and then the School Board in March 2020.

NEXT STEPS

MPG DAG #6, February 27, 2020 5:00-7:00 pm at Benson Polytechnic High School

RESOURCES FORTRAUMA INFORMED DESIGN

The 7 Principles. (2014). Retrieved from http://universaldesign.ie/What-is-UniversalDesign/The-7-Principles/.

Bronheim, Suzanne. (2018). Cultural Competence: It All Starts at the Front Desk. Retrieved from <u>https://nccc.georgetown.</u> <u>edu/documents/FrontDeskArticle.pdf</u>

Community on Temporary Shelter, Trauma-Informed Design. (2018). Retrieved from <u>https://cotsonline.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Trauma-Informed-Design.BOD_.pdf</u>

Cultural and Linguistic Competence Policy Assessment. (2018). Retrieved from <u>https://nccc.georgetown.edu/assessments/</u> <u>clcpa.php</u>

Psychosocially Supportive Design. Retrieved from <u>http://www.worldhealthdesign.com/Psychosocially-Supportive-Design.</u> <u>aspx</u>

Information on Language Access. (2018). Retrieved from https://nccc.georgetown.edu/resources/language.php

Jeff Richardson & Linda Rosenberg, National Council for Behavioral Health, <u>https://www.nationalcouncildocs.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Trauma-Informed-Design-Summary.pdf</u>

National Center for Cultural Competence. (2018). Resources by Title. Retrieved from <u>https://nccc.georgetown.edu/resources/</u> <u>title.php</u>

SAMHSA. (2016). Cultural Competence. Retrieved from <u>https://www.samhsa.gov/capt/applying-strategic-prevention/cultural-competence.</u>

Sherry Terry, Michelle Lustig, Foster Youth & Homeless Education Services, Schools on Wheels, Trauma Informed Practices for Schools (TIPS). Retrieved from <u>https://www.schoolonwheels.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/TIPS-March-Ventura-copy.pdf</u>